CLOSE OUT REPORT

FLORENCE LAND RECONTOURING LANDFILL REMEDIATION

Florence Twp, Burlington County NJ

November 1991 – August 1994

Prepared by:

Dennis F. Reinknecht
Dennis Faherty
Construction Managers
Bureau of Construction
Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.	INTRODUCTION	2
3.	CONTRACTOR PROCUREMENT	11
4.	STAFFING/DOCUMENTATION	14
5.	OPERATIONS & SCHEDULE	17
6.	COST SUMMARY/BUDGET ANALYSIS	38

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The NJDEP Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation (DPFSR) has completed the remediation of the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill CERCLA site.

The Florence Land Recontouring Landfill (FLR) is located in Florence, Mansfield and Springfield Townships, Burlington County, New Jersey. The property boundary of the FLR landfill encompasses approximately 60 acres. Out of the 60 acres, approximately 29 acres were used as a municipal landfill from 1973 to 1981.

The FLR remediation performed by the NJDEP involved the;

- Construction of a synthetic membrane and clay cap over the entire landfill in accordance with USEPA RCRA guidelines.
- Construction of a circumferential soil-bentonite containment wall.
- Construction of an upgradient groundwater interceptor system to reduce hydrostatic head on the slurry wall.
- Construction of a surface water management system including extensive regarding to improve slopes and revegetation.
- Construction of a leachate extraction and handling system.
- Decommissioning the lagoons including removal and disposal of liquids, bottom sediments and miscellaneous surface debris.
- Construction of a fence with warning signs.
- Development and implementation of monitoring plan.

The Construction Contract A49921 was awarded on November 12, 1991 to Tricil Environmental Response, Inc. (Tricil) aba Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc (Laidlaw) of Houston, Texas. Tricil was solicited through an open competitive procurement and performed the remedial construction for a total cost of \$17,000,725.35.

The Resident Engineering/Construction Oversight was provided by Acres International Corp. (Acres), the remediation designer, through a Waiver of Advertising for a total cost of \$2,495,280.00.

The construction phase of the FLR remediation was completed on August 19, 1994. The operation and maintenance phase required by Tricil was completed on February 28, 1996.

There are no outstanding claims related to this project and all retainage has been released.

II. INTRODUCTION

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) under a cooperative agreement with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), directed a remedial design and construction program at the Florence Land Recontouring (FLR) Landfill site in Burlington County, New Jersey. The remediation has been undertaken because of environmental concerns about existing conditions at the site.

A. Site Location and Description

The FLR Landfill is located in Florence, Mansfield and Springfield Townships, Burlington County, New Jersey. The property boundary of the FLR Landfill encompasses approximately 60 acres. Out of a total 60 acres, the area which contains the actual landfilled wastes is 29 acres. The FLR Landfill site is located approximately 1 mile south of Interchange 52 of Interstate 295, and is between Interstate 295 and the New Jersey Turnpike, Interstate 95. Access to the landfill via Cedar Lane Extension, which adjoins the west and southwest portions of the site. Land use in the vicinity of the site is residential and agricultural. The site is bordered by farmland and the Assiscunk Creek, which is used for both recreational purposes and irrigation.

B. <u>Site History</u>

The FLR Landfill operated as a solid waste disposal facility from November 1973 until November 1981. Florence Land Development, a partnership, owned the site from April 1974 to May 1978. Subsequently, Florence Land Development, Inc. has owned the site. For all but one year (1977), the site was operated by Florence Land Recontouring, Inc. In 1977, the site was operated by Jersey Environmental Management Services. During its operation, the landfill was permitted to accept sanitary and industrial (non-chemical) waste, including septage and sewage sludge.

In 1975, an investigation by NJDEP disclosed chemical waste disposal at the landfill. In January 1979, a Consent Order to alleviate and control further contamination was issued due to a history of environmental concerns, including observed leachate seeps, potential groundwater contamination and emissions to the atmosphere.

During this period, compliance with the Consent Order was sporadic. Subsequent enforcement action was necessitated by the lack of adherence to the terms of the Consent Order.

In July, 1981, Florence Land Recontouring, Inc. submitted a final closure plan, and operations terminated in November 1981. The wastefill area was then capped with onsite clay like material, reportedly of the Merchantville Formation, and revegetated.

In a related matter on December 24, 1980, the Board of Chose Freeholders of the County of Burlington (the County) adopted a resolution which identified a 600-acre parcel in the Townships of Springfield, Florence and Mansfield as the probable site for implementation of the future Burlington County Resource Recovery Facilities Complex (BCRRC). Since this future complex surrounds the FLR Landfill, the County attempted to determine the past and potential environmental impacts associated with the FLR Landfill site. During 1981 to 1982, the County, with consultant assistance, completed a hydrogeologic assessment of the FLR Landfill site. In 1984, an Environmental Impact Statement was prepared to address impacts of the BCRRC on the surrounding community. During 1985 to 1986, a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) was conducted at the FLR Landfill site by Black and Veatch (B&V), an engineering consulting firm, under the direction of NJDEP. Based on the results and alternatives included in the RI/FS, a record of decision (ROD) was formulated which selected the planned remedial activities for the design and construction contract.

C. Nature and Extent of Concern

The main source of environmental concern related to Florence Landfill has been the reported deposition of approximately 95 tons of hazardous waste at the site, including phthalates, heavy metals and vinyl chloride monomers. This amount was based upon NJDEP industrial survey generator reports.

There is some evidence of the alleged hazardous waste landfilling at the site. Sampling and analysis of leachate in wastefill wells indicated the presence of volatile organic compounds and heavy metals. Leachate seeps have been observed in several areas of the site.

D. <u>Summary of Previous Investigation Results</u>

This Section contains a brief summary of the results of previous investigations at the Florence Landfill. The main source of this information is the RI/FS report produced in 1986. Results of investigations by Geraghty and Miller, Inc. (G&M) in 1982 were also considered.

Results of the 1982 G&M investigation did not resolve concerns related to possible contaminant migration from the Florence wastefill. Various volatile organic compounds (VOC's) with concentrations on the order of several parts per million (ppm) were detected in the leachate samples collected from wastefill wells. There was, however, a high degree of variability in the results between wastefill wells. Shallow wells outside of the wastefill in the Pleistocene deposits indicated very low concentrations of VOCs. Finally, the results of domestic well analyses detected only very low concentrations (0.5 parts per billion to 20 parts per billion (ppb)) of one volatile organic constituent (methylene chloride); this contaminant was detected both upgradient and downgradient of the wastefill. It should be noted that methylene chloride is commonly used in analytical laboratories and the presence of this chemical is suspected as being a laboratory artifact and not indicative of actual site contamination.

In 1985, B&V collected groundwater and leachate samples for laboratory analysis from the monitoring wells installed during the RI, from selected wells, previously installed by G&M, and from three on-site leachate manholes. The samples were analyzed for USEPA Priority Pollutant Compounds, and for 40 tentatively identified organic, non-priority pollutant compounds (PP+40). In addition, 20 domestic water supply wells were sampled and analyzed for the same constituents.

The results of the B&V groundwater investigations indicated that leachate quality in the wastefill is considered approximately indicative of fluids anticipated within a landfill. Relatively high levels of organic compounds and heavy metals were evident in the samples from wastefill wells BV-1S, BV-2S and BV-3S.

The samples from Pleistocene monitoring wells (BV-4S, BV-5S and BV-6S) indicated total VOC concentrations ranging from 3 ppb to 232 ppb. In addition, several inorganic constituents indicated elevated levels. Upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells screened in the Raritan-Mahothy aquifer (BV-4D, BV-5D, BV-6D) exhibited similar levels of groundwater quality upon sampling and analysis. B&V assumed this to indicate that the overall water quality in the Raritan-Magothy has not been impacted by the wastefill.

Domestic water supply wells that were analyzed by B&V indicates similar results to those of the G&M studies. Methylene chloride as the only parameter detected, with concentrations ranging from 4 ppb to 23 ppb. This compound was considered most probably a laboratory artifact and not indicative of actual contamination. Acid and base/neutral compounds were confirmed as present in many of the wells. Most of these were tentatively identified compounds estimated between 4 ppb and 50 ppb in concentration. Several inorganic constituents were also present in the domestic wells; however, heavy metal constituents were virtually absent.

B&V's sampling and analysis of the leachate manholes (MH-2, MH-5, and MH-8) indicated that low levels of several VOCs and very low levels of several base/neutral compounds were present. The heavy metal fraction of inorganic constituents was not present in significant concentrations.

Data interpretation by B&V indicated that overall, the degree of contamination in the groundwater is limited. Data collected during the 1985-86 RI generally correlated with the data collected by G&M in 1982.

E. Surface Water and Sediment Investigations

A total of five surface water and sediment locations were sampled by B&V during the RI. Three of these points were in Assiscunk Creek, one was in the surface water retention pond adjacent to the wastefill, and one was in a drainage ditch near the site entrance gate. Water quality data from PP + 40 and conventional parameter analyses indicated that the Florence Landfill has very little change between the upstream and downstream water-quality parameters. A comparison of the RI data with that collected by G&M in 1982 indicated that Assiscunk Creek water quality had changed only slightly in the three-year period between sampling events.

Sediment sample analyses further supported the B&V conclusion that runoff from the landfill does not significantly affect Assiscunk Creek. Concentrations of the constituents detected downstream of the site lie within an expected range of the upstream conditions.

F. Soil Investigation

Chemical analyses were performed during the RI on samples collected during a soilboring program. The soil borings were performed coincident with the monitoring well installation program. Soils within the wastefill and adjacent, in-situ soils were sampled and analyzed.

The soil samples from within the wastefill contained numerous organic compounds, especially the base/neutral fraction. Several heavy metals were also detected. B&V concluded that the contaminants present were generally common in landfill environments; however, the concentrations were lower than would be expected to occur in an industrial waste landfill. B&V attributed this to dilution caused by the constant inflow of groundwater through the wastefill, and to the effectiveness of the existing leachate collection system.

Significantly lower organic compound concentrations existed in the soil matrix adjacent to the wastefill. However, heavy metal analyses indicated concentrations similar to and sometimes higher than the wastefill soil samples. B&V attributed the reduction in organic content to dilution with time and distance, as well as degradation.

G. Air Investigations

A limited air investigation was performed during the RI in an attempt to determine if volatile organic compounds were being emitted from the landfill. Direct readings were performed at emissions sources using a flame ionization detector (FID) and a photoionization detector (PID). This program indicated that significant quantities of methane were present at all ventilation points (i.e., manholes and wellheads). The highest readings on non-methane organic compounds recorded on the PID were obtained in the vicinity of manholes 1 and 2.

Three charcoal absorption tube samples were obtained in an attempt to quantify specific volatile organic compounds and their fractional contribution to the non-methane concentrations recorded. Benzene and toluene were present at levels below method detection limits; however, the holding times were exceeded for the tubes prior to analysis, which rendered the results invalid.

The third element of the air investigation was the development of a sampling grid for subsequent filed surveys. Two surveys were performed using FID and PID instruments to monitor on-site manholes, monitoring wells, and the leachate lagoons. These survey indicated the presence of total volatile organic compounds at concentrations ranging from 0 to 40 ppm with the FID, and 0 to 10 ppm with the PID.

B&V recommended that additional air quality data be collected to more specifically identify and quantify emissions from the site, and to comprehensively evaluate the level of risk. They also suggested that additional parameters be analyzed to determine the full spectrum of contaminants present.

H. Summary of Selected Remedial Alternative

After review of the RI/FS report prepared by B&V, together with input from other regulatory agencies and the general public, the USEPA and NJDEP jointly selected a Remedial Action Plan for the FLR Landfill site. The Record of Decision (ROD) presented the evaluation and selection of remedial alternatives. The selected remedy for the FLR landfill site includes the following remedial activities, which form the basis for the design and construction program.

- -Construction of a synthetic membrane and clay composite cap;
- -Construction of a circumferential soil/bentonite slurry containment wall;
- -Construction of an upgradient groundwater interceptor system;
- -Construction of a new stormwater management system;
- -Leachate extraction and treatment at a publicly-owned treatment works (POTW) or eventually the BCRRC;
- -Gas Collection and Treatment;
- -Removal and disposal of lagoon liquids and sediments; and other surface debris;
- -Construction of a partial fence with warning signs; and
- -Supplemental sampling of groundwater, surface water, and sediments during design.

I. Design Phase

The implementation of the design was conducted by Acres International Corp. of Buffalo, New York (ACRES). The design contract was awarded on December 29, 1987. Acres was authorized to proceed with the X-464 Term Engineering Design Services Contract (site specific contract S88127) for the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill (FLR) remediation project.

The S88127 Design Contract identified the major remedial elements for the project, which included but were not limited to the following:

- Construction of a synthetic membrane and clay cap over the entire landfill in accordance with USEPA RCRA guidelines.
- Construction of a circumferential soil-bentonite containment wall.
- Construction of an upgradient groundwater interceptor system to reduce hydrostatic head on the slurry wall.
- Construction of a surface water management system including extensive regarding to improve slopes and revegetation.
- Construction of a leachate extraction and handling system.
- Decommissioning the lagoons including removal and disposal of liquids, bottom sediments and miscellaneous surface debris.
- Construction of a fence with warning signs.
- Development and implementation of monitoring plan.

The design services contractor was required to perform defined tasks that would result in the preparation of plans, drawings, specifications, and bid documents for the construction/cleanup remedial activities. The defined task assignments for the FLR Design Contract are listed below:

BASIC SERVICES

- Task 1 Pre-Design Planning and Project Development
- Task 2 Investigations for Development of Design Criteria
- Task 3 Preliminary Design
- Task 4 Final Design

REOUIRED ADDITIONAL SERVICES

- Task 5 Property and Field Surveying
- Task 6 Bidding Phase Assistance
- Task 7 Community Relations

ADDITIONAL SERVICES REOURING PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

- Task 8 Resident Engineering
- Task 9 Design Services during Construction
- Task 10 Startup and Training
- Task 11 Other Engineering Services

Under the X-464 Design Contract, Acres conducted additional investigation work. During the Autumn of 1988, soil borings were taken around the perimeter of the landfill (spaced 100 feet apart, and sampled at 5 foot intervals) to develop sufficient subsurface information for the perimeter slurry wall design. In addition, a slurry wall mix design study was done to determine the optimum soil/bentonite ratio that would achieve the desired permeability (1X10⁻⁷ cm/sec).

A two phase Interim Monitoring Program was conducted that involved the sampling and chemical analysis of the groundwater, surface water, stream sediment, and air. The first round of sampling was performed in Spring 1989, and the second round was performed in August 1989.

Because this project utilized Federal Grant funding, an Archaeological Survey was required to investigate whether significant historical and prehistorical archaeological sites would be impacted from any proposed construction activities. In July 1988, a Stage 1A

study was conducted to define areas of archaeological sensitivity and to identify documented historical and archaeological resources. During May and June 1988, the Stage IB and II studies were performed, and involved field investigations (auger holes and test pits). The conclusions of these investigations were: "No potentially significant archaeological remains were identified within the proposed FLR Landfill project area."

Acres also investigated the soil conditions on site and possible local sources for materials that would meet the specifications for the multi-layer cap. During the Design phase, Acres developed the landfill gas collection and transfer system, the electrical & mechanical systems for both the leachate extraction system and the collection control building.

The original budget for the Remedial Design was \$1,291,300. With the addition of contract modifications and amendments, the actual cost of the Remedial Design was \$1,219,972.39.

Remedial Design Budget Summary

		Original Contract	
Task	Description	Amount	Actual Cost
•	-		
. 1	Planning	\$ 113,717.00	\$ 113,717.00
2	Investigation	795,358.00	
	Change Order #1	(62,164.00)	
	Change Order #2	40,600.00	
	Change Order #3	47,653.41	
	Subtotal	821,447.41	580,843.33
3	Preliminary Design	114,495.00	114,495.00
4	Final Design	144,871.00	144,871.00
	Claim Settlement	126,768.22	126,768.22
5	Surveying	95,888.00	95,888.00
	Change Order #4	22,200.00	22,200.00
6	Bid Phase Assistance	16,265.00	16,265.00
7	Community Relations	10,706.00	4,924.84
	Total Task 1-7	\$ 1,466,357.63	\$ 1,219,972.39

J. Construction Phase

This report includes all items of interest pertaining to the construction phase of the Florence Land Recontouring landfill project.

The ACRES design for the FLR project was completed and competitively bid for construction in March, 1991. Three addenda were issued on May 14, May 24 and June 25, 1991 respectively. The construction contract bids were opened on June 25, 1991.

On November 12, 1991, the construction contract A49921 was awarded to Tricil Environmental Response, Inc. in the amount of \$16,942,688.57.

On October 7, 1991, Acres International Corporation was awarded a waiver of advertising for \$2,055,881 to perform the resident Engineering/Construction oversight during the construction period.

III. CONTRACTOR PROCUREMENT

A. Construction Contractor

The State of NJ issued the Invitation for Bid (IFB) #R24676 in March 1991. The bid mailing consisted of three volumes, a set of Contract Drawings, and a set of Mandatory Submission Forms. Volume 1 specified the Terms and Conditions, Volume 2 specified the Technical Specifications, and Volume 3 provided backup documentation of previous site investigations.

The interested bidders were required to submit a completed price schedule for unit price and lump sum items, the total of which was the bid price for the complete remedial construction work at the FLR Landfill. The lowest total price and responsive bidder would be awarded the Construction Contract.

The mandatory site inspection took place on April 15, 1991 at 9:30 A.M. The mandatory bidders conference took place on April 15, 1991 at 1:00 P.M. The bidders conference was held at the Princeton Pike Office Park, Lawrenceville, NJ. Two (2) optional site inspections were made available to bidders on April 22 and May 22, 1991 provided that the bidders attended the first mandatory site inspection. Three addendum were issued by letter on May 14, May 24 and June 5, 1991 respectively. The Bid Opening was originally scheduled for May 30, 1991 but was changed to June 25, 1991 by addendum. On June 25, 1991 the bids were opened. Eight bids were received as follows:

1.	Chemical Waste Management, Inc.	\$15,996,370.04
2.	Tricil Environmental Response, Inc.	\$16,942,688.57
3.	Conti Construction Co, Inc.	\$19,888,891.00
4.	Anselmi and DeCicco, Inc.	\$20,276,390.00
5.	Halliburton, Inc.	\$21,895,656.00
6.	Sevenson, Inc.	\$22,849,300.00
7.	Ebasco Construction Co., Inc.	\$24,396,432.00
8.	AWD Technologies, Inc.	\$25,211,071.00

The Bid Evaluation Committee recommended the Tricil Environmental Response, Inc. be given the award since Chemical Waste Management, Inc. was considered non responsive in several areas. After protest by another bidder (Conti) was resolved the A49921 Construction Contract for \$16,942,688.57 was executed on November 12, 1991.

Tricil's Contract included the following approved subcontractors:

1.	Remediation Inc.	Metal Building
		Supply and
		Installation
2.	Lord, Anderson, Warrell & Barnett	Engineering and
		Survey
3.	Moretrench Environmental Services	Monitor Well
		Construction
4.	W.T. Welding Co.	Welding
5. •	A. Reginatto Consulting Engineers	On-Site Soils
•		Testing
6.	Empire Soils Investigations	Well Drilling
7.	The Liner Co.	Liner Supply and
		Installation
8.	Palco Linings, Inc.	Liner Supply and
		Installation
9.	Elcor Electric Co.	Electrical
10.	Woolston Construction Co.	Borrow Fill
		Supplier
11.	Certified Testing Labs Inc.	Analytical Testing
12.	D.T. Allen Construction Co.	Site Excavation

The above noted subcontractors were approved for use on the FLR Project but #3,4,7,10,11 and 12 were not utilized. During the course of the project additional subcontractors were added and utilized as follows:

1.	Cashins & Associates	Air Monitoring
2.	Remedial Solutions	Clear & Grubbing
3.	J.M.S. Company	Site photography
4.	Field Force	Field Office
	•	Equipment
5 .	Anchor Fencing	Fencing
6.	A.J.R. Enterprises	Leachate Disposal
7.	Geo-Con Inc.	Slurry Wall
8.	I.E.A.	Analytical Testing
9.	American Guard Services	Guard Service
10.	Pennsbury Landscaping, Inc.	Site Seeding
11.	A.C. Schultes, Inc.	Manhole Cleaning
12.	Barry Marine, Inc.	Manhole Cleaning
13.	MacFarlane Construction Ltd.	Bridge Construction
14.	Golder Assc. Inc.	Geotech. Testing
15.	Gaul Construction Inc.	Steel Construction
16.	C.H. Schwertner & Son, Inc.	Concrete
17.	Cooper Road Construction, Inc.	Paving

B. Engineering Contractor

Acres was issued a Waiver of Advertising to the Term Design X-464 FLR Engineering Contract to perform the Engineering and Construction Management Services during the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill (FLR) remediation project. The Waiver included work under Task 8 (resident engineering/construction supervision), Task 9 (design services during construction), and Task 10 (start-up & training services) of the original Design Contract.

On September 27, 1991 the Bureau of Construction submitted the Waiver of Advertising request to secure the services of Acres for Tasks 8, 9 and 10 of the X-464 Contract. The Waiver was based on the August 19, 1991 Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Construction Oversight Manhour and Cost Proposal Revisions prepared by Acres. A Contract Modification for \$120,000 to the original Waiver request of \$2,055,881 was issued to Acres on October 7, 1991 to ensure Acres would be capable of performing Construction Management services at the time the remediation Construction Contract was awarded. The Remedial Construction Contract was formally executed on November 12, 1991. The entire Acres' Waiver of Advertising for \$2,055,881.00 was approved on January 8, 1992 (contract X-464/S88127, purchase order #P33987).

The responsibilities of the Engineer during the remedial construction phase were identified in the Waiver package, the Construction Supervision Agreement, the X-464 FLR Design Contract (Tasks 8, 9, & 10), and the construction remediation contract (A49921). These responsibilities included, but were not limited to, inspecting the construction contractor's work for acceptance, monitoring the construction schedule, maintaining project documents, interpreting the design and the scope of work, and reviewing and accepting the required submittal information furnished by the Construction Contractor.

The construction management services under Task 8 and 9 included providing sampling analysis (chemical and geotechnical), survey verification, photographs of construction, As-Built drawings and the Operations & Maintenance Manual.

The Engineer also assisted the DEP in developing Field Orders and Design Changes, negotiating contract modifications, and supporting community relations programs.

IV. STAFFING DOCUMENTATION

A. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR

The Construction Contractor, Tricil Environmental Response Inc., (Tricil) had to staff the project adequately to perform the work in accordance with the Contract Specifications.

Tricil maintained the following management positions on this project: 1) Project Manager; 2) Project Engineer; 3) Quality Control Officer; 4) Site Supervisor; 5) Health and Safety Officer; 6) Field Foremen and 7) 1 Union Senior Operating Engineer. Tricil maintained an average of 7 management employees throughout the project but during the first five months of the project, up to 10 management personnel could be present.

Normally, 1 Supervisor, 2 foreman, and 1 senior operating engineer oversaw all phases of construction.

Tricil employed union laborers, operating engineers, and teamsters (through Geo-Con Inc., the slurry wall subcontractor), electricians, mechanics, concrete masons, plumbers and steel workers to construct this project. Of the unionized labor force, up to 40 persons were present on the site at any given day, however typically the total number of individuals totaled about 25.

Tricil was responsible for furnishing the following documentation:

Quality Control Reports
Air Monitoring Log Reports
Weather Station Reports
Equipment Listing
Personnel Roster and H&S Certifications
Documentation required to complete invoices for payment
Operation and Maintenance Manuals
Equipment Warranties
Construction and Occupancy Permits
Updated Schedules
All Contract Modification documentation

B. ENGINEERING SERVICES

Field staff

Acres maintained a full time field staff consisting of a resident Project Representative (RPR) with overall authority for Acres field personnel, two (2) civil inspectors and a field office secretary. Specialists from the Acres home office were dispatched to the site on an as-needed basis. The specialists including a health & safety/environment inspector, geotechnical engineer during the slurry wall installation, a civil engineer during the

multi-layer cap construction, an electrical inspector and a mechanical inspector for the construction of the above ground leachate system and the collection control building.

The on-site field staff was responsible for the daily oversight of the construction activities, verifying the testing performed during construction and assuring the construction contractor followed the technical specifications and intent of the contract. The field staff maintained reports detailing the work performed each day, the manpower and equipment used and the weather conditions.

In addition, the Engineer issued a monthly report highlighting the status of the project. The Monthly Report included a Project Summary (General Overview of activity, Weather Conditions, Job Progress), Schedule, Construction Progress, Construction Force (Contractor, NJDEP, Acres and Acres' subcontractor), Construction Equipment, Equipment and Materials, Weather Statistics, Construction Costs (invoice summary), Claims/Change Orders, Visitors to Site, and any appropriate attachments.

Each week a project meeting was held on-site to review the progress of the work and discuss any outstanding issues. The weekly project meetings were attended by the NJDEP Construction Managers, the Acres RPR and inspectors, the remedial construction contractor (Tricil/Laidlaw) Project Manager and project management team, the geotechnical laboratory supervisor and specialty subcontractors. Minutes of the meeting were provided by the Engineer to all parties for review and comment prior to issuance of the final meeting minutes.

Home office

Acres had a team of engineers available at their Amherst, New York office assigned to the FLR Remediation project. The team was headed by the Project Manager and the Project Engineer. These representatives coordinated all the Engineer's activities related to the site and reported directly to a Vice President of the firm. The Home Office project team communicated with the on-site team daily (phone and fax) and visited the site on a regular basis, occasionally attending the weekly project meeting.

The Home Office project team included personnel from several disciplines including hydraulic engineers, civil engineers, architectural engineers, geologists, geotechnical engineers, and drafters.

The Acres Home Office team had been involved with the project during the Design Phase, and was thoroughly familiar with the original design and the planned remediation solution. The team utilized this knowledge in reviewing technical issues during the project. The Home Office team contributed to the project by reviewing and developing the Design Changes, the Field Orders and the construction contract modifications.

The engineer was responsible for reviewing the shop drawings and submittal information for all the equipment and materials and re-submittals furnished to the Engineer by the Construction Contractor.

C. NJDEP - BUREAU OF CONSTRUCTION

The NJDEP – Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation – Bureau of Construction was the lead Bureau for the construction phase of this project.

Construction Managers Dennis Reinknecht, Dennis Faherty and Michael Alexander were permanently assigned to the FLR Project. During the project other construction managers assisted in monitoring the Construction Contractor. NJDEP off site staffing was provided by Bureau Section Chief Thomas J. Allen.

The support Bureaus in the Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation included, Site Management, Construct Management, Environmental Measurements and Quality Assurance, Office of Site Safety and health and the Environmental Evaluation and Risk Assessment.

The documentation provided by the NJDEP was principally provided by the Bureau of Construction staff. The Bureau of Construction routinely maintained a master log of all site activities, filed monthly reports, prepared both construction and engineering contract payment requests, negotiated and processed all contract modifications, monitored contractor schedule and was the primary contact for all site correspondence.

V. OPERATIONS AND SCHEDULE

As competitively bid, the Tricil work to complete the FLR Project will include all labor, materials, equipment and all else necessary to complete the project. The work covered includes the following:

- Clearing and grubbing.
- Filling and regarding the landfill (Phase I Grading Plan) using onsite borrow material.
- Embankment adjacent to creek.
- Construction of a composite multilayer cap over the main landfill area.
- Construction of an upgradient ground water interceptor drain line.
- Construction of drainage channels, culverts, drop inlets and associated structures to control precipitation runoff.
- Installation of new leachate extraction wells and conversion of existing manholes. These penetrations in the landfill will be used for leachate extraction. Construction of an aboveground leachate collection line from each extraction point to the collection/control building.
- Construction of a gas collection system to collect gas generated in the landfill and convey it to the collection/control building.
- Construction of a collection/control building to house leachate collection tank, gas collection equipment and control system for gas, leachate and meteorological equipment.
- Riprap slope protection.
- Preparation of Health and Safety Plan (HASP), Schedule, Work Plan, Contingency Plan and other required plans.
- Removal and disposal of existing lagoon liquids and sediments.
- Removal, excavation, and filling of existing water retention pond.
- Construction of a security fence with warning signs and gates.
- Construction of a below grade leachate transfer line from the collection/control building to the BCSWFC treatment facility.
- Demolition and removal off-site of existing site structures and debris.
- Installation of new monitoring wells and piezometers for both ground water and gas sampling.
- Preservation of certain existing wells.
- Sealing of certain existing wells.
- Supply and installation of all mechanical and electrical equipment for the leachate and gas collection systems.
- Construction of a truck loading pad.
- Construction of a buried gas transfer line from the collection/control building to the BCSWFC treatment facility.
- Calibration, start-up, and initial operation of all systems associated with this project.
- Operation of all facilities for a one (1) year duration, with State's option to continue operations for up to an additional 12 months.

The following section is a month-by-month overview of activities performed and/or completed during the period. Weather conditions are included. A schedule in "bar line" format is included at the end of this section.

1. Project Summary - November 12, 1991 through April 30, 1992

A. General Overview of Activities

Tricil signed a Contract on 12 November, 1992.

Tricil began surveying on the FLR Site about mid February and began mobilizing and installing temporary services (access roads, electrical power, temporary offices, decon facilities) on 16 March. Support services, including an operational decon facility and operational field offices, were completed about 24 April. A general Notice to Proceed was issued on April 23, 1992 and Tricil commenced work within the exclusion zone and the reduction zone.

B. Weather Conditions

Weather through the winter months was below average in precipitation and above average in temperatures. March began with good weather, but the second half included five days of rain and snow, and below average temperatures.

April was a cold/damp month with temperatures averaging 10° below normal and three days of rain.

2. Project Summary - May 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

- Tricil dewatered the leachate lagoons
- Disposed of seven drums
- Sealed eleven existing wells
- Removed lagoon bottom sediments
- Began moving Type B Common Fill from 80K stockpile onto landfill
- Began excavating unsuitable foundation material and replacing with I-9 material
- Continued with clearing and grubbing operations along Assiscunk Creek.

B. Weather Conditions

Weather for May was average with 4.44 inches of rainfall and temperatures ranging from 40°F to 93°F. One rainfall event was significant on the last day of the month (>2 inches), but it did not impact May's schedule.

3. Project Summary - June 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

- Tricil completed work at the leachate lagoons
- Backfilled and graded to the revised grading plan
- Unearthed and disposed of two drums
- Moved Type "B" Common Fill from the 80K stockpile onto the landfill
- Progressed with the removal of unsuitable foundation material
- Began installing embankment at both the elevation 30 and the elevation 38 benches
- Began cleaning out the existing manholes
- Completed the clearing and grubbing of work areas (except fencing)
- Completed installing Sedimentation Basins "A" and "B" and installed additional silt fence
- Cast the concrete outfall for the upgradient interceptor and relocated the small tributary of the Assiscunk Creek at the base of the east slope.

B. Weather Conditions

June's weather was normal, with temperatures slightly below average. High temperate at site was 94°F and low temperature 43°F. Rainfall totaled 4.93 inches with two Friday rainfalls accounting for most of this.

Time lost because of weather:

June 5: ½ day June 19: ½ day

4. Project Summary - July 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Assiscunk Creek relocation,
- Cleaning of existing manholes,
- Excavation of unsuitable foundation material and replacement with I-9, and
- Sealing of existing monitoring wells.

Tricil continued with the following:

- Removed and disposed of four drums,
- Constructing embankments,
- Installing silt fence and hay bale erosion control devices, and

• Excavating Type "B", Common Fill from the 80K stockpile and placing it on the landfill as Phase 1 grading material.

Tricil began the following activities:

- Installation of GeoCon's slurry wall plant,
- Existing manhole repair and extension,
- Installation of the upgradient interceptor, and
- Temporary seeding and mulching.

B. Weather Conditions

July's weather was normal for construction conditions. The month's average temperatures were several degrees below normal. Precipitation, consisted of 4.33 inches of rainfall, registered on Tricil's site weather station. This is average for July and resulted in the following lost time:

July 23rd: 1 day July 31st: 1 day

5. Project Summary - August 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Extended four existing monitoring wells,
- Converted 15 existing wells to gas vents.

Tricil continued with the following:

- Installation of Phase I grading material (hauling Type "B" Common Fill from stockpile and installing on landfill),
- Installation of embankment.

Tricil began the following activities

- Installation of SCB Slurry Wall,
- Gas collection trench,
- Installation of Type "A" Filter Fabric on top of Phase I grading layer,
- Installation of gas collection pipeline (grid),
- Installation of 12" gas collection layer.

B. Weather Conditions

August's weather included one wet week and normal temperatures. Rainfall for the month totaled 4.4 inches, and was received primarily during the period from 11 through 18 August. The one wet week caused approximately three days lost time; 8/14, 8/17 and 8/18.

6. Project Summary - September 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Gas Collection System.
- Excavating and backfilling trenches
- Installing 12" coarse aggregate layer
- Installing 6", 8" and 10" Corrugated HDPE gas piping
- Installing Type "A" filter fabric beneath gas collection layer.
- Installation of Phase I grading layer using material from gas collection trench excavation.
- Hauling in embankment material from off-site, and installing at elevation 30 bench
- Extending existing manholes.

Tricil completed the following activities:

Installation of SCB slurry wall.

Tricil began the following activities:

Installation of SB Slurry Wall.

B. Weather Conditions

The September weather was normal in both precipitation and temperature. Rainfall totaled 3.57 inches at site, but occurred, primarily, during four events which totaled 3.06 inches. The weekend of September 25th – 27th was washed out by tropical storm Danielle, and accounted for 2.04 inches of the month's rainfall. Tricil lost 31/2 days to weather, their subcontractor, GeoCon, lost two (2) days.

7. Project Summary - October 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Test Strip preparation only
- Installation of Gas and Leachate Transfer Lines to Burlington County
- Installation of permanent Site Fence
- Hauling Type "A" Common Fill, from Ryan Pit to site, over Temporary Panel Bridge
- Sampling of SB Wall (October 20, 1992)

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Gas Collection System
- Excavating and backfilling gas collection trenches
- Installing 12" gas collection layering of coarse aggregate
- Installing 6" and 10" Corrugated HDPE gas piping
- Installing Type "A" filter fabric on top of the Phase I grading layer
- Extending existing manholes
- Installation of SB slurry wall
- Placement of Type "B" Common Fill (from 80K stockpile) in Phase I Grading Layer
- Installing Embankment at Elevation 30 bench

B. Weather Conditions

October provided good weather and, consequently, good working conditions. Temperatures were seasonal, and precipitation was below normal

Days lost to weather - October 9, 1992 - October 12, 1992

8. Project Summary - November 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

Installation of Geonet at test strip

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Test strip installation progressed until clay was found to be out of specification, then aborted until comprehensive testing at the Ryan Pit is completed,
- Sampling Shelby tubes of the Soil-Bentonite (SB) portion of slurry wall
- Installation of gas collection trenching and gas collection piping,
- Horizontal coring of Soil-Cement-Bentonite (SCB) wall panels,
- Installing the 12" gas collection layer,
- Installation of embankment materials,
- Installation of silt fence and hay bale barriers,
- Installation of Type "B" Common Fill as Phase I Grading Layer
- Extending existing manholes on landfill,
- Installation of gas and leachate transfer lines

Tricil completed the following:

 Construction of the Slurry wall; however, further sampling and testing of the SCB wall is necessary to judge if all slurry wall work is complete.

B. Weather Conditions

5.97 inches of rain fell in November, mostly during the work week. The normal rainfall in November is 3.4 inches.

The normal daily mean temperature for November is 46°F. The average of the mean daily highs and the mean daily lows for November equaled 47.8°F.

9. Project Summary - December 1992

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Installation of Piezometers on the cap
- Installation of the Collection Control Building (CCB) foundation

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Extending existing manholes on cap
- Installing the 4" HDPE leachate transfer line
- Installing the 10" HDPE gas transfer line
- Placement of 12" gas collection coarse
- Concrete encasement of transfer lines

- Installing the up-gradient interceptor
- SCB wall coring and sampling
- Testing of SB & SCB samples for permeability

B. Weather Conditions

The normal rainfall for December is 3.32 inches. On December 10, 11 and 12, 1992, a strong storm dumped 5.21 inches on the site. This caused local flooding and raised water to elevation 25 (±) in the floodplain of the Assiscunk Creek. All told, 6.95 inches fell in December, or about twice normal.

Temperatures for the month were normal. The average December temperature is 32.2°F. The average of the daily highs and lows was 38.6°F.

Days lost to weather	- December 10, 1992	½ day
	- December 11, 1992	1 day
	- December 14, 1992	1 day
	- December 15, 1992	1 day
	- December 16, 1992	½ day
	- December 17, 1992	1 day
	- December 18 1992	1 day

10. Project Summary - January 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Installation and development of leachate collection wells on the cap
- Placement of rip-rap on the 2:1 slope
- HDPE lining installation of the condensate traps

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Installing the 4" HDPE leachate transfer line
- Installing the 10" HDPE gas transfer line
- Concrete encasement of transfer lines
- Installing the up-gradient interceptor
- Testing of SB & SCB samples for permeability

B. Weather Conditions

Precipitation was normal for the month, and with temperatures averaging 5°F above normal, the only snowfall of the month (2") lasted just two days on the ground. Tricil lost just one day to weather (the sixth of January).

January provided the best weather for working since October. If Tricil had had their clay source on line, the test strip could have been completed and cap work begun.

11. Project Summary - February 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

Gas wells and groundwater monitoring wells.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Installation of the Collection/Control Building foundation;
- Installation and development of leachate extraction wells;
- Installation of rip-rap at 2:1 slope;
- Installation of gas transfer line;
- Installation of leachate transfer line;
- Installation of the upgradient interceptor;
- Installation of upgradient interceptor manholes; and
- Removal of the temporary bridge and access road to the Ryan Pit.
- Wet molding of SCB test samples.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- SB slurry wall for billing purposes based on completion of and results of permeability testing.
- Permeability testing of SB wall samples.

B. Weather Conditions

Precipitation exceeded the normal of 2.62 inches by approximately six tenths of an inch. This was received as both snow and rain.

Temperatures averaged 31°F over the period. The mean temperature of record for February is 34°F. Therefore, temperature was below average. Approximately 21/2 days lost to weather:

2/12/93 8 hours 2/16/93 8 hours 2/22/93 ½ day

12. Project Summary - March 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Stabilization of unsuitable trench excavation soil.
- Clearing and grubbing alongside Cedar Lane (as required by CO #3).

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Installation of the Collection/Control Building foundation.
- Installation of leachate extraction wells.
- Installation of gas transfer line.
- Installation of leachate transfer line.
- Installation of the upgradient interceptor.
- Rip-rap placement on 2:1 slope.
- Removal of dewatering liquid of off-site disposal.

Tricil completed the following activities:

 Disassembly and removal of the temporary panel bridge and access road to the Ryan Pit.

B. Weather Conditions

The weather for March was definitely difficult. Precipitation totaled 5.75 inches at the site. Most of this occurred as rain, but a major snowstorm on the 13th brought activity to a halt in the entire northeast U.S.A. This storm dumped in excess of a foot of snow on the site.

Temperatures were slightly below normal for March, with the average of highs and lows equaling 40°F (Historical average for March is 42°F.)

13. Project Summary - April 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Began and completed test strip.
- Cap installation, including:
- clay layer (24")
 - 30 mil PVC geomembrane,
 - sand drainage layer (12"),
 - type "A" common fill (18" layer),
 - topsoil layer (6"),
- geotextiles within the 6" thick cap, including Types "A" and "B" filter fabric, geonet, geogrid and erosion control matting.
- Installation of truck transfer pad (forms and reinforcing steel).

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Construction of the Collection/Control building concrete foundation.
- Installation of the upgradient interceptor.
- Installation of rip-rap at elevation 30 bench slope.
- Installation of gas collection trench and piping.
- Installation of gas collection layer (12").
- Extending existing manholes on landfill.
- Placing 24" diameter HDPE lining inside four manholes.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Development of all leachate wells.
- Stabilization of unsuitable trench excavation soils.

B. Weather Conditions

April's weather was wetter than normal. Precipitation amounted to 4.72 inches and 4.55 inches of this occurred on six days of the month. The normal April precipitation of 3.29 inches was exceeded by 43%.

The average temperature for April is 52.9°F, and the average of site highs and lows was 55.1°F. In spite of above average rainfall, Tricil managed to complete the test strip.

Tricil lost the following days to weather:

4/1/93 1 day 4/2/93 1 day 4/16/93 1 day 4/22/93 1 day

14. Project Summary - May 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

 Received and partially installed the 15,000 gallon leachate tank (FRP), inside the collection/control building.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Cap installation, including:
 - type "A" filter fabric,
 - type "B" filter fabric,
 - clay layer (24"),
 - PVC geomembrane (30 mil),
 - sand layer (12"),
 - Type "A" common fill (18")
 - Topsoil (6" layer),
 - geonet, and
 - geogrid.
- Gas collection trenching.
- Gas collection lines.
- Gas collection layer (12").
- Phase I grading layer, Type "B" common fill, at perimeter of landfill and at elevation 30 and elevation 40 benches.
- Removal of decon water from site.
- Installation of extensions to existing site manholes. (All but two of the 11 manholes are now extended to final elevation).

Tricil completed the following activities:

- GeoCon complete demobilizing their slurry batch plant.
- Upgradient interceptor (except testing).
- Concrete work at the collection/control building (including floor slabs in electrical and storage rooms, truck transfer pad, sidewalk and concrete filled pipe bollards).

B. Weather Conditions

May weather was ideal for cap installation. Tricil lost partial productivity on May 19 & 20, 1993 because of rain, but the rest of the month provided good conditions for cap construction.

The rainfall total of 1.32 inches for the month was well below the historical average of 3.35 inches.

Tricil lost the following days to weather (cap installation only):

5/19/93 1 day 5/20/93 1 day

Temperatures for the month were several degrees above the normal of 52.9°F.

15. Project Summary - June 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Installation of gas monitoring wells. Installed four of six gas monitoring wells.
- Installation of groundwater monitoring wells. Installed second of two groundwater monitoring wells.
- Installation of well chambers (Leachate Extraction Well 10, 11 & 12).
- Erection of collection/control building superstructure.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Cap installation, including:
 - Gas collection coarse aggregate layer.
 - Type "A" filter fabric,
 - Type "B" filter fabric,
 - clay layer (24"),
 - PVC geomembrance (30 mil),
 - sand drainage layer,
 - Type "A" common fill layer,
 - topsoil (6" layer),
 - geonet/geogrid.

- Gas collection trenching and lines.
- Phase I grading layer at perimeter of top of landfill and at elevation 30 bench with Type "B" common fill.
- Consolidation of waste soils (slurry, trench excavating, etc.) with cement.
- Removal of Sedimentation Basin "B": and backfill of area.
- Installation of Type "A" common fill in existing slopes outside slurry wall to provide 3:1 slopes.

Tricil completed the following activities:

Installation of extensions of existing site manholes.

B. Weather Conditions

The weather for June was good for construction activity. Tricil lost some productivity on cap installation on each of two days because of overnight rainfall, but not enough to record.

Rainfall totaled 2.17 inches for June at the site. This is below the historical average for June of 3.70 inches.

Temperatures for the month were above the average of 72.3°F by 3 ½ degrees at 75.9°F.

15. Project Summary - July 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Electrical installation at the collection/control.
- Deactivation of Sedimentation Basin "A".

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Cap installation, including:
 - Gas collection coarse aggregate layer,
 - Type "A" filter fabric,
 - Type "B" filter fabric,
 - clay layer (24"),
 - PVC geomembrane (30 mil).
 - sand drainage layer,
 - Type "A" common fill layer,
 - topsoil (6" layer),
 - geonet/geogrid.

- Gas collection trenching and lines.
- Piping at the collection/control building.
- Installation of Type "A" common fill in existing slopes outside slurry wall to provide 3:1 slopes.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Phase I grading layer using Type "B" common fill.
- Consolidation of waste soils (slurry, trench excavation, etc.).
- Removal of Sedimentation Basins "A" and "B" and backfill of areas.
- Erection of collection/control building superstructure.

B. Weather Conditions

The months' precipitation totaled 4.4 inches, or 0.3 inches over the historical average of 4.09".

Temperatures were well above average with the first week of July. The historical average is 76.8°F and the actual average was 81.6°F by degrees at °F. Lost time approximately two full days.

16. Project Summary - August 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Construction of concrete support bases for the structural steel support system for the leachate collection lines.
- Construction of concrete underpasses in the inspection roadway network on the cap.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Cap installation to include:
 - sand, 12" layer,
 - Type "A" common fill, 18" layer,
 - topsoil, 6" layer,
 - geonet,
 - geogrid,
 - Type "A" filter fabric, and
 - Type "B" filter fabric.
- Mechanical and electrical installation collection/control building.
- Finish grading of areas outside cap (stockpile area and sedimentation basis "B" areas).

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Cap installation:
 - gas collection trenching and pipe installation,
 - placement of 12" gas collection layer,
 - installation of 24" clay layer,
 - installation of 30 mil PVC liner.
- Installation of 10" HDPE gas transfer line (except testing and flushing the line, and lining of condensate traps).
- Installation of 4" HDPE leachate transfer line (except testing and flushing).

B. Weather Conditions

The weather continued to favor earthwork activities. The rainfall received was about average for August, but it only effected progress on five of the 26 workdays of the months.

Temperatures continued to run two or three degrees above normal. All in all, the summer's weather has been beneficial to Tricil's schedule.

18. Project Summary - September 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Installing topsoil in old Sedimentation Basin "B" area.
- Hydroseeding cap slopes.
- Erecting structural steel for collection system.
- Installing 1" diameter and 3" diameter HDPE collection system.
- Installation of landfill access road.
- Installation of inspection road on cap.
- Installation of leachate collection system pumps.
- Installation of power and signal cable on cap.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Construction of concrete support bases for the structural steel support system for the leachate collection lines.
- Construction of concrete underpasses in the inspection roadway network on the cap.
- Cap installation to include:
 - sand, 12" layer,
 - Type "A" common fill, 18" layer,
 - geogrid,
 - geonet.
 - topsoil, and
 - Types "A" & "B" filter fabric.
- Fiberglass reinforced pipe (FRP) at moisture separator.
- Mechanical/Electrical installation in the collection/control building.
- Site drainage system installed pipe drop PD-1.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Testing and flushing of 4" HDPE leachate transfer line and 10" HDPE gas transfer line.
- Installed the structural steel pipe bridge.
- Dewatering (from site manholes to tanker trucks).
- Installation of Carbon Dioxide Fire Suppression System (CO₂ system in the collection/control building.)

B. Weather Conditions

The drought ended, 8.5 inches of rain fell at site. Construction was shut down in whole, or in part, on 11 workdays of the months. Slopes were badly eroded in several areas and erosion was noted to some extent everywhere. At the end of September, cap construction was not much further along than at the end of August.

19. Project Summary - October 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Installation of FRP gas collection piping at pipe bridge,
- Erection of structural steel pipe bridge at Collection/Control building (also completed).
- Installation of Type "B" stone fill at drainage courses.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Hydroseeding cap slopes and drill seeding top of cap.
- Erecting structural steel for leachate collection system.
- Installing 1" diameter and 3" diameter HDPE collection piping.
- Installation of landfill access road.
- Installation of inspection road on cap.
- Installation of manhole and well pumps.
- Installation of power and signal cable on cap.
- Permanent fence installation.
- Electrical wiring in Collection/Control Building.
- Installation of erosion control matting.
- Topsoil placement on cap.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Installing topsoil at Sedimentation Basis "B": area.
- Seeding of Sedimentation Basin "B" area.
- Seeding of stockpile area.
- Installation of pipe drops and culverts on cap.
- Construction of concrete support bases for the leachate collection system.
- Construction of concrete underpasses for leachate pip on cap.

Cap installation to include:

- sand, 12" layer, (rework)
- Type "A" common fill, 18" layer,
- geogrid,
- geonet, and
- Types "A" & "B" filter fabric (on cap).

B. Weather Conditions

Tricil decommissioned the site meteorological station on October 10, 1993. Therefore, weather is now being taken from the Philadelphia Enquirer. Rainfall in October was average for the month and temperatures were also average. The conditions for earthwork were good, except for 4 ½ days when Tricil sent their personnel home. The cap installation was completed.

20. Project Summary - November 1993

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil began the following activities:

- Demobilizing temporary facilities.
- Installing the building access road.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Drill seeding top of cap.
- Installation of power and signal cable on cap.
- Electrical terminations of signal and power cables at control and distribution panels on cap.
- Permanent fence installation.
- Electrical wiring in Collection/Control Building.
- Installation of erosion control matting.
- Installation of Erosion Control Matting on cap.
- Punchlist work.
- Attempting to waterproof condensate traps.
- Cleaning up site.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Landscaping the 1.9 acres of floodplain between Assiscunk Creek and base of landfill.
- Installing grating at pipe underpasses on cap.

- Installation of signal/control cable to Burlington County from Collection/Control Building.
- Constructing bollards on transfer line easement.
- Pressure testing the HDPE lines of leachate collection system.
- Erection of carbon canister and tower at Collection/Control Building.
- Topsoil placement on cap.
- Erecting structural steel for leachate collection system.
- Installing 1" diameter and 3" diameter HDPE collection piping.
- Installation of landfill access road.
- Installation of inspection road on cap.
- Installation of manhole and well pumps.

B. Weather Conditions

Both rainfall and temperatures were average for November when compared with Philadelphia historical weather data. Except for a 2.1 inch rainfall on November 28th, November's weather highly favored construction activities at the site.

21. Project Summary - December 1993 Through April 1994

A. General Overview of Month's Activities

Tricil completed all contract work except for punchlist and outstanding change orders on February 28. Most of the work was completed before the two-week Christmas break. The punchlist was updated for the last time on 23 February 1994 and transmitted to the State so they could issue it to the Contractor at Substantial Completion.

Tricil began the following activities:

- Pre-commissioning completed on February 28,1994.
- Operation of Facility (Payment Item 1.05A). This operation commenced on February 28, 1994, the date on which Substantial Completion was achieved.
- The paving of the site access road was begun on December 1 and completed December 23, 1993.

Tricil continued with the following activities:

- Satisfaction of Punchlist Contract Work.
- Demobilization of Temporary Facilities.

Tricil completed the following activities:

- Bituminous concrete pavement at site access road.
- Inspection roadway on cap.

- Cap seeding.
- Installation of power and signal cable on cap.
- Installation of permanent fence and gates except for a segment to be left incomplete until spring 1994.
- Pre-commissioning of site systems except where pumps on cap could not be tested because of inclement weather.
- Electrical wiring in C/C Building
- Testing of CO₂ system in C/C Building.
- Waterproofing of condensate traps on gas transfer line.
- Tricil acquired a Certificate of Occupancy for the C/C building on March 16, 1994.
- Installation of Type A and Type B stone fill for roadside drainage ditches and at drainage structures.

B. Weather Conditions

The Tricil Site meteorological station operated intermittently during the period from December 1993 through April 1994. The weather from December 2 through December 23, 1993 was temperate. At that juncture, the weather conditions deteriorated and the worst sinter in 20 years provided very bad conditions for completing Contract Work, and for commissioning the site systems. The quantity of snow, combined with several severe ice storms, and well below normal temperatures in January and February, caused the problem.

22. Project Summary, May 1994 - August 1994

A. General Overview of Activities

Tricil completed all outstanding punchlist items required to reach final completion. Tricil reached final completion on August 19, 1994. The operation and maintenance phase of the FLR landfill was completed on February 28, 1996.

VI. COST SUMMARY/BUDGET ANALYSIS

A. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR

The Construction Contract A49921 was awarded on November 12, 1991 to Tricil Environment Response, Inc. (Tricil) aba Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc. (Laidlaw) of Houston, Texas. Tricil was solicited through an open competitive procurement and engaged to perform the remedial construction with a total cost of \$16,942,688.57.

During the course of the FLR Remediation Project fifty-four (54) Construction Contract modifications were developed and implemented. Attachment 1 contains a summary and descriptions of each of these modifications. The total cost increases authorized to the contract as a result of these fifty-four (54) modifications was \$783,713.65.

Description	Contract Amount Authorized	Actual Invoiced Amount
Original Contract	\$16,942,688.57	\$15,812,870.17
Contract Modification	783,713.65	587,855.18

The NJDEP withheld \$898,655.00 in retainage throughout the construction period of the FLR Landfill Project. Please find attached an As-built Invoice Summary (Attachment II) which tracks all line items and change orders related to the Laidlaw Contract and summarizes amounts invoiced, quantities used and total billing by line item in accordance with the A42991 Contract.

On July 15, 1997 the NJDEP Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation and Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc. reached agreement on final contract settlement, release of claims, and final retainage release for the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project. Laidlaw accepted a final sum of \$600,000 in retainage release as the final payment under this contract, waiving any rights to additional retainage, claims or additional costs incurred under this contract. The following table shows how this final retainage settlement of \$600,000.00 was derived.

1.	Total Retainage Amount Withheld	\$898,665.00
2.	Acceptance of the NJDEP slurry wall claim offer	62,759.71
3.	Acceptance of final cost proposal for pump clean out	13,405.68
4.	Acceptance of responsibility for additional special damages	(330,145.84)
5.	Acceptance of responsibility Saturday and Holiday Costs	(44,684.55)
	Final Retainage Release Settlement Amount	\$ 600,000.00

This final settlement offer was reached after several years of negotiation between the NJDEP and Laidlaw. All related documentation including the retainage release invoice

are included within this report as Attachment III. At the conclusion of the final contract settlement and retainage release the total project cost is as follows:

Total Construction Contract Cost

1.	Original Contract Invoiced Amount	\$15,812,870.17
2.	Contract Modification Invoiced Amount	587,855.18
3.	Retainage Release Amount	600,000.00
	Total Construction Contract Cost	\$17,000,725.35

B. Engineering Budget/Cost Analysis

Development of the Budget

The engineering and construction management work during the remedial construction phase was conducted under a cost plus fixed fee contract (Waiver to the X-464 Term Contract for Professional Engineering Services). Acres developed their budget based on the Engineer's responsibilities as outlined in the X-464 Contract, and the Construction Technical Specifications. The Bureaus of Site Management, Construction and Contracts Management within the Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation worked with Acres to develop an acceptable Task 8, 9 and 10 Budget Estimate. Prior to the commencement of the construction work, the budget amount of \$2,055,881 was approved for engineering services during construction.

The Acres budget for personnel costs was based on the manhours estimated to assure proper coverage and rapid response to the various reviews, design change requests and modifications anticipated for the entire construction schedule as specified in the Construction Contract. Each component/work task of the construction contract was evaluated. The projected hours per discipline (ie, Geotechnical, electrical, civil engineering, etc...) for specific Acres staff were calculated for each construction task. In addition to the review of submittals and assistance with the evaluation of design changes, the manhour estimates included the development of the final As-Built Drawings, the Training Manual and the Operations & Maintenance Manual. The Engineer was also responsible for the commissioning of the leachate extraction system.

Personnel costs were direct salary with a 130 % mark-up for overhead and a 10% fixed fee mark-up. An annual 5% escalation for the personnel hourly rates was allowed under the terms of the X-464 Contract.

The Budget also included the Other Direct Costs required for the completion of the job for both Home office support and Field Staff support. Home Office charges included, but were not limited to Travel, Communications, Postage and Courier Service, Reproductions and Printing, Computer/CADD, and Photographs. Field Staff charges included, but were not limited to Travel, Accommodations, Subsistence and Vehicles, Communications, Postage, Courier Service, Reproductions and Printing, Computers, Photographs and

Video, Field Office Supplies, Heath & Safety Equipment and Sampling Supplies. All direct cost purchases were passed on to the State with no mark-up charge.

Acres also solicited quotes from subcontractors for each of three types of subcontract work (geotechnical analysis, chemical analysis, and survey work). The price quotes from each subcontractor were evaluated and the lowest bidder was selected for this project. The selected subcontractors were Lippincott for the geotechnical work (\$39,000.00), Lippincott (Engineering Associates, Riverside, NJ) for the survey work (\$109,304.00), and Industrial & Environmental Analysts, Inc. IEA, Inc.) for the chemical analytical services (\$77,185.00). Charges for the subcontract services were also passed directly to the State without a markup.

Original Budget Summary

	Home Office	Field Office	Total
Manhours	11,274	19,150	30,424
Direct Salary Cost	\$227,780	\$371,854	\$599,634
Overhead – 130%	296,114	483,410	779,524
Direct Costs	100,060	291,211	391,271
Subcontractors	NA	225,489	225,489
Fixed Fee	22,778	37,185	59,963
TOTAL	\$646,732	\$1,409,149	\$2,055,881

Actual Budget Summary

Acres invoiced the DEP on a monthly basis, and submitted a monthly summary report of the original projected manhours and costs compared to the actual manhours and costs expended for each month. The monthly budget summary report enabled the DEP and Acres to monitor the budget. Attachment IV is the last monthly manhour summary submitted by Acres with the June 1994 invoice accompanied by the Bureau of Construction invoice tracking form.

Due to project delays associated with the approval of the Work Plans, the extension of the contract time because of severe winter weather and general production delays by the contractor, the site work was not substantially completed until February 28, 1994 and finally completed by August 19, 1994. The construction schedule under the A49921 Construction Contract required that site work be completed within 640 days of contact award. The contract was executed on November 12, 1991, and the construction work should have been finished by August 13, 1993.

The Acres Budget was based on the contract schedule requirement of site work completion within 640 days. Because of the prolonged construction schedule, the original Acres Budget was insufficient to continue providing engineering services for the entire actual construction period. It became necessary for the Bureau of Construction to request additional funding to increase the Acres Budget for the project.

Change Order #1

Acres and the Bureaus of Construction and Contracts Management developed a budget projection to provide sufficient engineering services until Final Completion of the project. On December 28, 1993 a Verbal Approval Request (Change Order/Waiver) in the amount of \$110,000.00 was issued to Acres to continue engineering services. A second Verbal Approval Request (Change Order/Waiver) in the amount of \$119,399.00 was issued to Acres on April 25, 1994 to continue to provide to the engineering services. The formal Waiver of Advertising was approved on June 8, 1994 in the amount of \$229,399.

Change Order #2

A second and final contract modification was approved and executed by the NJDEP on October 7, 1997 (change order #97-016RE-72, purchase order #4123732) in the amount of \$210,000.

This contract modification/waiver of advertising in the amount of \$210,000 was for the purpose of final contract settlement for all engineering costs associated with the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill project which remained after the completion of the construction project. A complete copy of this change order package is included as part of this close out report as Attachment V.

This modification was required to compensate Acres for upgrading the pipe drop system after the failure of the original pipe drop system in the fall of 1994. Acres was responsible for the cost of the original pipe drop system including system design and construction cost. The State compensated Acres with the betterment gain from upgrading the system which is \$210,000. These are essentially the costs the State would have incurred had we requested the upgraded pipe drop system with the original design.

This modification represented the final payment for all engineering work related to the FLR Landfill Project. The following is a summary of the engineering budget.

Actual Budget Summary:

1.	The original contract amount	\$ 2,055,881.00
2.	Change Order #1-Contract time extension	229,399.00
3.	Change Order #2-Pipedrop upgrade	210,000.00
	Total	\$2,495,280.00

Attachment I

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

During the course of the FLR Remediation project, fifty-four (54) construction contract modifications (change orders) were executed.

CO #1 (92-016-09)

PURPOSE: Convert existing monitor well GM-29B to gas collection system, and furnish & install steel case riser with locking cap for monitor well GM-22.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications deficient, GM-29B was missed during the design phase. GM-22 was specified to be sealed, but DEP decided to keep well for future monitoring. GM-22 did not have standard steel casing with cap.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$488.75 ✓ AMOUNT INVOICED: \$488.75

CO#2 (92-016-10)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install an additional 10,500 linear feet of silt fencing.

JUSTIFICATION: Required by the Burlington County Soil Conservation District, based on approved Soil Erosion Plan. Quantity estimate in contract specifications insufficient.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$19,215.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$13,457.81

CO #3 (93-016-11)

PURPOSE: Clear and grub two (2) separate areas that were not included in the original Scope of Work for this project, and dispose of the trees and brush.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications did not include construction at these two areas. One area to be regarded to promote drainage from the cap from pipedrop #2, and the other area (the slope along Cedar Lane) is to be regarded to match the landfill when the project is complete. The Cedar Lane slope also contained larger, dying trees that could fall and damage the perimeter security fence.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$55,072.50 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$55,072.50

CO #4 (93-016-12)

PURPOSE: Authorize a \$24,250.00 reduction in the scope of work for contract line item 2.10B, "Common Fill – Type A, Other".

JUSTIFICATION: Revisions in grading and backfilling requirements at the lagoon area, to promote better drainage, reduces the amount of material required to backfill lagoons.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: (\$24,250.00)
AMOUNT INVOICED: not applicable

CO #5 (93-016-13)

PURPOSE: Relocate a tributary of the Assiscunk Creek.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications required the construction of the landfill slope

with rip-rap berm to be located on top of the tributary.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$1,463.58 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,463.58 CO #6 (92-016-14)

PURPOSE: Increase quantity of contract line item 2.10c, "Common Fill – Type B from Stockpile" from an on-site stockpile.

JUSTIFICATION: Use of on-site material will limit the amount of soil to be imported to the site, leading to a savings as on-site material is less expensive than imported material. Original quantity estimate for on-site material was low.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$97,650.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$97,650.00

CO #7

CANCELED

CO #8 (93-016-15)

PURPOSE: Grant a sixty (60) day extension to the construction schedule.

JUSTIFICATION: As a result of an extended contract award protect period, the critical cap construction activities will be delayed during January and February 1993, due to winter weather conditions.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$0.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: NA

Co #9 (93-016-16)

Purpose: Retrofit existing manholes #4, 6, 7, & 8 with 24" diameter, Drisco HDPE pipe with manufacturer's perforation and NJDOT specification #2 stone backfill.

JUSTIFICATION: The interior of four manholes were severely deteriorated. As the removal of leachate was a key component of the remediation, any damage to the manhole pumps would reduce the amount of leachate removed. As a preventative measure, the worse manholes were retrofitted with a new interior.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$39,329.37 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$39,329.37

CO #10 (93-016-17)

PURPOSE: Compensate Tricil for additional work to install the gas and leachate transfer lines due to a changed site condition.

JUSTIFICATION: Changed site condition, the Burlington County Resource Recovery Facility stockpiled soil where the gas & leachate transfer lines were to be installed, increasing the depth of the excavation to where OSHA safety guidelines were necessary.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$2,437.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,639.22

CO #11 (93-016-18)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install increased quantities required under four (4) unit price line items of the X49921 contract (SCB slurry wall, SB slurry wall, common fill – type B, & gas collection trench).

JUSTIFICATION: Quantity estimates in contract specifications were insufficient.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$172,138.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$60,255.08 CO #12

Combined into CO #11

CO #13

Cancelled

CO #14

Cancelled

CO #15 (94-016-22)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install additional Geogrid material at the seven (7) corners of the landfill and all other areas where materials are required to prevent slope failure, and provide survey crew time.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specification re-designed the corner installations to assure that the geogrid was sufficiently anchored to prevent a failure of the slope cap at the corners

MODIFICATION AMOUNT:

\$37,122.38

AMOUNT INVOICED:

\$10,473.62

CO #16 (93-016-19)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install a concrete encasement of the leachate and gas transfer

lines.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specification deficient, failed to identify a creek and headwall with winged concrete apron that was in the path of the transfer lines.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT:

\$20,631.01

AMOUNT INVOICED:

\$20,631.01

CO #17 (93-016-20)

PURPOSE: Permit L.E.S. (Tricil) to provide the 20 year warranty for the geomembrane. JUSTIFICATION: The warranty finished by the manufacturer does not meet the requirements and the specifications. The contractor then assumes the warranty as per the specifications.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT:

\$0.00

AMOUNT INVOICED:

NA

CO #18 (94-016-21)

PURPOSE: Dewater Sedimentation Basin "B" (including the discharge of clear rain water to the adjacent stream and flocculation/discharge of silted water to an existing manhole atop the landfill), and stabilize and dispose of the remaining sediments in a designated disposal area on the landfill.

JUSTIFICATION: While installing the slurry wall, refuse was encountered and the contractor stopped operations. The DEP directed the contractor to resume slurry wall installation at another section of the wall. The slurry in the first excavation had dewatered and became unusable, so it was pumped to Sedimentation Basin B for storage and disposal.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$17,810.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$17,810.00

CO #19 (94-016-23)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install RGS conduit for the signal and control cables, and additional bracing for the leachate pump control panels.

JUSTIFICATION: The RGS conduit will provide protection to electrical cables located beneath a high traffic area in the County Complex, subject to future expansion activities. Contract Specifications deficient, the bracing for the control panels were omitted.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$6,456.17 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$6.456.17

CO #20 (94-016-24)

PURPOSE: Grant a fifteen (15) day extension to the construction schedule. March 31, 1993 has impacted the Construction Schedule. The referenced winter weather conditions were abnormal as compared to available historical weather data for the region.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$0.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: NA

CO #21 (94-016-25)

PURPOSE: Abandon (seal) well GM-39 in accordance with the appropriate NJDEP

Regulations NJAC 7:9 -7, 8, 9.

JUSTIFICATION: Well GM-39 was blocked with material and rendered useless.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$6,895.04 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$6,424.70

CO #22 (95-016-25)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install a support stand for the flow meter and upgrade "support 2" of the gas transfer system.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications deficient in identifying adequate support for gas pipe and flow meter.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$6,895.04 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$6,424.70 CO #22 (95-016-26)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install a support stand for the flow meter and upgrade "support 2" of the gas transfer system.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications deficient in identifying adequate support for

gas pipe and flow meter.

\$1,542.51 MODIFICATION AMOUNT: AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,542.51

CO #23 (94-016-27)

PURPOSE: Removal and store leachate from the FLR landfill manhole #8 for five months (May through September 1993).

JUSTIFICATON: Above average precipitation during winter, open gas collection trenches and slurry wall have combined to increase quantity of leachate material in landfill. Leachate seeps observed on east slope have indicated potential for slope failure.

Removal of leachate will help reduce hydraulic head on eastern slope.

MODIFICATOIN AMOUNT: \$68,108.26 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$57,378.24

CO #24 (94-016-28)

PURPOSE: Provide an explosion proof motor operated shutter at exhaust fan (Mark E3) in lieu of the non-explosion proof motor originally specified by contract.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specification deficient, incorrectly identified non-explosion proof motor for use inside collection control building.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$934.50 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$934.50

CO #25 (94-016-30)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install additional quantity of Erosion Control Matting (ECM) to ensure all of the 3:1 slopes are protected from the top of slope to the 6" Topsoil Limit. JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications deficient, only half the slope identified on Drawings as required ECM material. Contract quantity estimate based on incorrect drawings.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$55,063.80 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$55,063.80

CO #26 (94-016-29)

PURPOSE: Decrease the scope of line item #13.02 "Meteorological Station".

JUSTIFICATION: During the project a "temporary meteorological station" was used. DEP decided that the "permanent" meteorological station, as specified in the contract, was not needed during the Operations & Maintenance phase. Costs associated with operating the "temporary" station were deduced from the line item and paid to Tricil.

The remaining amount of line item #13.02 will be credited to the State.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: (\$25,654.26)

AMOUNT INVOICED: NA CO #27 (94-016-31)

PURPOSE: Furnish and install eight (8) piezometers along with eastern slope of the landfill.

JUSTIFICATION: In response to the build-up of hydraulic pressure on the eastern slope, piezometers were installed to relieve the pressure and serve to monitor the leachate levels at the base of the slope near where the clay cap ties into the slurry wall.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$6,215.80 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$6,215.80

CO #28 (94-016-32)

PURPOSE: Furnish and install a large Carbon Filter unit with supporting steel structure to the leachate storage tank exhaust pipe.

JUSTIFICATION: In order to reduce the sampling requirements and associated costs of the air permit, a larger carbon unit was substituted for the carbon system identified in the contract specifications.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$14,485.80 AMOUNT INVOCIED: \$14,485.80

CO #29 (94-016-33)

PURPOSE: Substitute a stone rip-rap discharge channel at pipe drop PD-4 for the concrete energy dissipater shown on drawing 8390C-28.

JUSTIFICATION: The creek slope beneath pipedrop PD-4 was not as steep as identified in the contract drawings. Placement of large rip-rap instead of the construction of the concrete spillway as specified, saved construction costs and maintenance costs while achieving the same performance.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$15,660.50 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$15,660.50

CO #30 (94-016-34)

PURPOSE: Substitute three 5 h.p. explosion proof motors at leachate transfer pump for three 7.5 H.P. motors specified in contract.

JUSTIFICATION: Contract specifications deficient, failed to require explosion proof

pumps within Collection Control Building.
MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$1,943.55

AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,943.55

CO #31 (94-016-35)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install additional quantity of line item 2.18B "Geogrid Type B material".

JUSTIFICATION: Quantity estimates in contract specifications were insufficient.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$12,915.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$ 4,425.79

Co #32

Not Required

Co #33 (94-016-37)

PURPOSE: Furnish and install one (1) gas trench dewatering sump in northwest corner

of landfill cap.

JUSTIFICATION: Due to the high level of leachate in the northwest corner of the cap, the gas collection system (perforated pipe) could not function. The dewatering sump allowed for the monitoring of the leachate level and the removal of leachate below the gas system piping to promote the flow of landfill gas to the gas transfer pipe system.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$1,357.26 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,357.26

CO #34 (95-016-38)

PURPOSE: Extend the tops of the upgradient interceptor manholes #3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 10. JUSTIFICATION: The flat topography of the upgradient interceptor area was regraded to promote drainage of surface water away from the landfill. The original manholes were constructed to be near flush to the surrounding grade. As the grade was increased, the height of the manholes had to be increased to prevent sediment from entering the manholes.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$13,466.20 AMOUNT INVOIVCED: \$13,446.20

CO #35 (94-016-39)

PURPOSE: Compensate Tricil for additional costs required to seal well GM-39 (CO

#21)

JUSTIFICATION: The quantity of hours and materials identified in Contract

Modification 94-016-25 (CO #21) were exceeded during the work to seal well GM-39.

MODIFICATOIN AMOUNT: \$932.40 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$932.40

CO #36 (95-016-40)

PURPOSE: Furnish & Install 1.) heat tracing and insulation of the carbon filter canister and vent piping and 2.) electrical grounding of the structural steel support system for the leachate collection piping.

JUSTIFICATION: The heat tracing and insulation will prevent the condensation within the carbon canister from freezing in the winter, possible damaging the piping system. The electrical grounding of the steel support structure was omitted from the original design.

MODIFICATON AMOUNT: \$11,392.02 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$11,392.02

CO #37 (95-016-41)

PURPOSE: Construct a 10 ft. wide by 100 ft. long earthen drainage channel from culvert C2/pipedrop PD2.

JUSTIFICATION: The existing drainage ditch was inadequate for proper storm water management.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$3,328.39 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$3,328.39 CO #38 (95-016-42)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install motorized controls for damper Mark GDA-2 located in the Collection Control Building storage room.

JUSTIFICATION: Original design did not include mechanism for automatic closure of damper when Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) fire suppression system was activated. An open damper during a CO₂ release would resulting the loss of CO₂ and reduce the effectiveness of the fire suppression system.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$2,398.97 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$2,398.97

CO #39 (94-016-43)

PURPOSE: Furnish two (2) and install one (1) pressure switch for leachate extraction system in manhole #9.

JUSTIFICATION: The specified pressure switch was insufficient for the actual field pressure in manhole #9. The second switch was a spare for future maintenance.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$758.74 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$758.74

CO #40 (95-016-44)

PURPOSE: Reconstruct the expansion loops for the leachate transfer lines on the landfill cap.

JUSTIFICATION: The specified expansion loops were insufficient to allow for expansion during hot weather. As the line expanded, there was a possibility that the lines would push themselves off the steel support which may damage the line. The cleanout flanges were slightly elevated which would permit leachate to collect. In cold weather the leachate could freeze and block the flow of additional leachate.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$13,585.81 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$13,585.81_

CO #41 (94-016-45)

PURPOSE: Install a stone drain beneath the access road ramp and rip-rap on the slope of the access road.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications failed to include an avenue beneath the access road for the precipitation that drained from the sand drainage layer/geonet. Eventually erosion would impact the access road without a way to drain the precipitation from beneath the roadway. The specifications required the access road slope to be topsoiled and vegetated. As the access road slope was 2H:1V, it would be difficult to establish vegetation and to maintain the vegetation. Rip-rap was utilized on the other 2H:1V slopes at the landfill, and was less costly than the topsoil and vegetation as originally specified.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$10,846.49 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$10,846.49 CO #42 (95-016-46)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install two (2) 90 degree bends in the collection control building's exhaust fan ducting.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications did not provide sufficient space along the side of the leachate collection tank to allow passage of an individual, as per the requirements of the DCA Occupancy Permit.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$475.21 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$475.21

CO #43 (94-016-47)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install 73 pipe anchors on the one inch leachate extraction lines. JUSTIFICATON: The specifications did not prevent the one inch line from "snaking" off the support steel during hot weather, when the leachate line expanded, which could damage the line and reduce the flow of leachate material.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$1,846.92 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,846.92

CO #44 (94-016-48)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install 1) additional lengths of horizontal steel and 2) additional lengths of horizontal and vertical steel supports for the leachate line support structure. JUSTIFICATION: The quantity estimate in the specifications were insufficient. The quantity was based on aerial photographs not an actual field survey, and the specifications did not state the maximum distance requirement between the manhole and the support steel.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$4,744.13 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$4,744.13

CO #45 (94-016-49)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install 1) leachate gland seal wiring on the leachate transfer pump, 2) pressure relief vents in the Collection Control Building and 3) liquid removal from BCRRC wet well.

JUSTIFICATION: The fluid level monitoring switch was not referenced in the specifications, but was recommended by the manufacturer to warn when the liquid is low to prevent damage to the transfer pump.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$3,605.42 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$3,605.42

CO #46 (94-016-50)

PURPOSE: Install 3H:1V shoulder slopes along select sections of the inspection road. JUSTIFICATION: DOT requires that elevated roadways with a 2H:1V slope have a guiderail for safety. Instead of installing the guiderails, these slopes were modified to be 3H:1V to be in compliance with the DOT regulations.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$3,911.60 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$3,911.60 CO #47

Combined into CO #45

CO #48 (95-016-52)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install additional supports for the fiberglass reinforced pipe gas

transfer piping.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications did not adequately support the fiberglass

reinforced pipe.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$4,990.03 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$4,990.03

CO #49

Combined into CO #64

CO #50 (94-016-54)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install stone backfill around and beneath 10' horizontal discharge

segment of pipe drops PD3 & PD4.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications did not permit proper drainage of surface run-off

around the bottom sections of these two pipedrops.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT:

\$7.812.05

AMOUNT INVOICED:

\$7,812.05

CO #51

Cancelled

CO #52 (96-016-54)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install 11 start/run modules (three ire control boxes) for the

leachate extraction systems.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications were inconsistent with the contract drawings. The contract drawings indicated three wire control boxes, but the specifications required modules that had a six wire control box.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$2,948.84

AMOUNT INVOICED: \$2,948.84

CO #53 (95-016-57)

PURPOSE: Raise the electrical control panel and terminal box at leachate extraction

well #10.

JUSTIFICATION: Leachate extraction well #10 (LEW #10) is located near culvert and pipedrop PD4, in the point of the south east corner of the landfill. When culvert and pipedrop PD4 were blocked with snow and ice, precipitation backed up and ponded around the electrical control panel at LEW #10. Raising the panel and terminal box will prevent damage to these electrical systems from ponded water.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT:

\$1,546.50

AMOUNT INVOICED:

\$1,546.50

. CO #54

Combined into CO #45

CO #55 (94-016-59)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install an electrical unit heater in the storage room for the collection control building.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications did not include a heater for the storage room. The storage room is used to store the various spare parts, including sensitive electrical equipment. This room will also serve as a work station for Operation & Maintenance inspectors.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$1,237.56 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,237.56

CO #56 (94-016-60)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install 1) additional cable for flowmeters FE 300 and FE 102 and 2) vacuum relief holes for the leachate transfer pipe within the leachate storage tank. JUSTIFICATION: The length of cable for the flowmeters referenced in the specifications was insufficient. The specification for the leachate storage tank did not include any mechanism for preventing a vacuum in the line could cause the leachate to siphon from the cap into the tank, overflowing the tank.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$881.19 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$881.19

CO #57 (95-016-61)

PURPOSE: Furnish & install 2 exit signs in the collection control.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications were deficient, as they only required exit signs for 2 of the 4 doors in the collection control building. The DCA and local building codes require that all doors have an exit sign.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$676.71 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$676.71

CO #58

Combined into CO #56

CO #59 Cancelled

CO #60 (94-016-55)

PURPOSE: Finish & install a second motor operator at MDA-3 in the collection control building leachate storage tank room.

JUSTIFICATION: The specifications were deficient. The specifications required one motor assembly to close the damper in the event of the fire suppression system was activated. The larger damper actually required two motor assemblies to close it.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$1,318.59 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$1,318.59 CO #61

Included in final contract settlement

CO #62 (95-016-63)

PURPOSE: Increase the quantities of four line items in the bid schedule; bituminous concrete pavement, structural steel support, leachate collection 1"'line and leachate collection 3"'line.

JUSTIFICATION: Quantity estimates in contract specifications were insufficient.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$4,923.38 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$4,923.38

CO #63 (95-016-64)

PURPOSE: Authorize additional operation and maintenance tasks.

JUSTIFICATION: Specifications did not include certain Operation & Maintenance

items required by the O&M Manual.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$9,458.38 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$4,700.00

CO #64 Cancelled

CO #65 (95-016-65)

PURPOSE: Increase the quantities of four line items in the bid schedule, stone fill type

A, erosion control matting, roadway and topsoil 4 inches.

JUSTIFICATION: Quantity estimates in contract specifications were insufficient.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$19,309.12 AMOUNT INVOICED: \$19,309.12

CO #66,67,68,69

Cancelled

CO #70 (95-016-71)

PURPOSE: Permit Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc. (Tricil) to provide the 20-eyar warranty for the Collection/Control Building instead of the manufacturer.

JUSTIFICATION: The warranty furnished by the manufacturer did not meet all the requirements of the specifications. The Contractor assumed the warranty for the missing

items.

MODIFICATION AMOUNT: \$0.00 AMOUNT INVOICED: NA

CO #71 Cancelled



Attachment II

DIVISION OF PUBLICLY FUNDED SITE REMEDIATION

BUREAU OF CONSTRUCTION UPDATE

UPDATED: 03/19/97

FLORENCE LAND RECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT PAGE:

1 OF 3

CONTRACT: A49921

FILE:123\TRICIL\ASBLT

CONTRACTOR: TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE INCORPORATED

						INVOICE:			INVOICE TO DATE		
ITE ITEM		UNIT	ESTIMATED	TRICIL UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	O&M Invoices INV #25	INV #25	TOTAL OUANTITY	TOTAL AMOUNT	TOTAL % PERCENT	TOTAL OUANTITY
#		UNII	QUANTITY		BID		AMOUNT	INVOICED	INVOICED	COMPLETED	REMAINING
1.00 CASH ALLOW	ANCE	LS	1.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.01	\$94.75	0.95	0.99
1.01 MOB/DEMOB		LS	1.00	\$236,349.75	\$236,349.75	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$236,349.75	100.00	0.00
1.02 HEALTH AND	SAFETY	LS	1.00	\$661,597.91	\$661,597.91	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$661,597.95	100.00	0.00
1.03 TEC - PLAN		LS	1.00	\$13,587.00	\$13,587.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$13,587.00	100.00	0.00
1.03 TEC-SILT FEN	CE	LF	6500.00	\$1.89	\$12,285.00	0.00	\$0.00	3718.00	\$7,027.02	57.20	2782.00
1.03 TEC-BALE BA	RRIER	LF	2200.00	\$2.35	\$5,170.00	0.00	\$0.00	1215.00	\$2,855.25	55.23	985.00
1.03 TEC-WATER R	ETENTION POND	LS	1.00	\$103,431.56	\$103,431.56	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$103,431.56	100.00	0.00
1.03E TEC-STABILIZ	ED CONST.ENTRA	LS	1.00	\$16,615.57	\$16,615.57	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$16,615.57	100.00	0.00
1.03F TEC-CREEK M	ODIFICATIONS	LS	1.00	9878.63	\$9,878.63	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$9,878.63	100.00	0.00
	AND MULCHING	ACRE	50.00	\$787.50	\$39,375.00	0.00	\$0.00	2.14	\$1,685.25	4.28	47.86
1.04 TEMPORARY		LS	1.00	\$2,816,300.00	\$2,816,300.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$2,816,300.03	100.00	0.00
	F FACILITY 1ST Y	LS	1.00	\$121,005.00	\$121,005.00	0.83	\$100,837.50	1.00	\$121,005.00	100.00	0.00
	F FACILITY 2ND Y		12.00	\$10,083.75	\$121,005.00	12.00	\$121,005.00	12.00	\$121,005.00	100.00	0.00
1.07 ON-SITE TEST		LS	1.00	\$281,085.90	\$281,085.90	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$281,085.90	100.00	0.00
	LING & DISPOSAL	EA	30.00	\$42.92	\$1,287.60	0.00	\$0.00	13.00	\$557.96	43.33	17.00
1.08 WASTE HAND	LING & DISPOSAL	EA	20.00	\$216.69	\$4,333.80	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$216.69	5.00	19.00
	LING & DISPOSAL	EA	20.00	\$216.69	\$4,333.80	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	20.00
	LING & DISPOSAL	EA	20.00	\$216.69	\$4,333.80	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	20.00
1.08E WASTE HAND		EA	20.00	\$216.69	\$4,333.80	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	20.00
1.08F WASTE HAND		CY	600.00	\$2.15	\$1,290.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	600.00
	E AND PAYMENT	LS	. 1.00	\$157,500.00	\$157,500.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$137,479.00	100.00	. 0.00
	GAS MONITORIN	EA	7.00	\$1,249.50	\$8,746.50	0.00	\$0.00	6.00	\$7,497.00	85.71	1.00
	TTIONAL FT.OF G	FT	25.00	\$33.60	\$840.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	25.00
	GROUNDWATER	EA	2.00	\$3,323.25	\$6,646.50	0.00	\$0.00	2.00	\$6,646.50	100.00	. 0.00
	TTIONAL FT.OF G	FT	6.00	\$157.50	\$945.00	0.00	\$0.00	6.00	\$945.00	100.00	0.00
2.01E INSTALL NEW		EA	5.00	\$1,701.00	\$8,505.00	0.00	\$0.00	5.00	\$8,505.00	100.00	0.00
2.01F INSTALL ADD		FT	44.00	\$36.75	\$1,617.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	44.00
	G MONITORING	EA	23.00	\$288.75	\$6,641.25	0.00	\$0.00	16.00	\$4,620.00	69.57	7.00
	STING MONITORI	EA	16.00	\$288.75	\$4,620.00	0.00	\$0.00	15.00	\$4,331.25	93.75	1.00
	TING MONITORIN	EA	4.00	\$735.00	\$2,940.00	0.00	\$0.00	4.00	\$2,940.00	100.00	0.00
	EW LEACHATE	EA	4.00	\$7,140.00	\$28,560.00	0.00	\$0.00	4.00	\$28,560.00	100.00	0.00
	NAL FT OF LEAC	FT	34.00	\$157.50	\$5,355.00	0.00	\$0.00	-18.00	(\$2,835.00)	-52.94	52.00
2.04 DEMOLITION	D CDIMBOA	LS	1.00	\$10,538.75	\$10,538.75	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$10,538.75	100.00	0.00
2.05 CLEARING AN	D GKUBBING	LS	1.00	\$35,197.50	\$35,197.50	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$35,197.50	100.00	0.00

_

- 1	

1::					,			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
						INVOICE:			INVOICE TO DATE		
				TRICIL		O&M Invoice		TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
ITE	ITEM	UNIT	ESIMATED	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	INV #25	INV #25	QUANTITY	AMOUNT	% PERCENT	QUANTITY
#			QUANTITY	BID	BID	QUANTITY	TRUOMA	INVOICED	INVOICED	COMPLETED	REMAINING
2.06	DEWATERING-WATER RETENTI	LS	1.00	\$13,013.80	\$13,013.80	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$13,013.80	100.00	0.00
2.06	DEWATERING-CREEK MODIFIC	LS	1.00	\$9,190.00	\$9,190.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$9,190.00	100.00	0.00
2.06	DEWATERING-LEACHATE LAG	000GA	1000.00	\$140.69	\$140,690.00	0.00	\$0.00	840.10	\$118,193.67	84.01	159.90
2.06	DEWATERING-UPGRADIENT IN	000GA	1850.00	\$140.64	\$260,184.00	0.00	\$0.00	700.86	\$98,569.09	37.88	1149.14
2.06	E DEWATERING-DECON WATER	000GA	550.00	\$117.50	\$64,625.00	0.00	\$0.00	64.69	\$7,601.19	11.76	485.31
2.06	FDELETE	NA	NA								
2.06	DEWATERING OTHER	LS	1.00	\$33,000.00	\$33,000.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$33,000.00	100.00	0.00
2.07	SEDIMENT REMOVAL-LAGOON	CY	4500.00	\$4.91	\$22,095.00	0.00	\$0.00	4002.00	\$19,649.82	88.93	498.00
2.08	SCB SLURRY WALL	SF	7700.00	\$23.70	\$182,490.00	0.00	\$0.00	7064.30	\$167,423.91	91.74	635.70
2.09	SB SLURRY WALL	SF	143000.00	\$7.80	\$1,115,400.00	0.00	\$0.00	143000.00	\$1,115,400.00	100.00	0.00
2.10	COMMON FILL-TYPE A -18" LAY	SY	175000.00	\$3.69	\$645,750.00	0.00	\$0.00	174667.90	\$644,524.55	99.81	332.10
2.10	COMMON FILL-TYPE A - OTHER	LS	1.00	\$289,641.54	\$289,641.54	0.00	\$0.00	0.92	\$265,391.54	91.63	0.08
2.10	COMMON FILL-TYPE B-FROM S	CY	80000.00	\$2.17	\$173,600.00	0.00	\$0.00	65401.00	\$141,920.17	81.75	14599.00
2.10	COMMON FILL-TYPE B-IMPORT	CY	56000.00	\$6.52	\$365,120.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	56000.00
2.11	EMBANKMENT	CY	38000.00	\$8.49	\$322,620.00	0.00	\$0.00	38000.00	\$322,620.00	100.00	0.00
2.11	FOUNDATION FOR EMBANKME	CY	21500.00	\$8.49	\$182,535.00	0.00	\$0.00	15907.00	\$135,050.43	73.99	5593.00
2.12	CLAY/BENTONITE CAP	SY	175300.00	\$9.38	\$1,644,314.00	0.00	\$0.00	170285.00	\$1,597,273.30	97.14	5015.00
2.13	TEST STRIP	LS	1.00	\$26,250.00	\$26,250.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$26,250.00	100.00	0.00
2.14	SAND DRAINAGE LAYER-12" LA	SY	149650.00	\$3.56	\$532,754.00	0.00	\$0.00	147590.00	\$525,420.40	98.62	2060.00
2.15	GAS COLLECTION LAYER-12" L	SY	153000.00	\$4.76	\$728,280.00	0.00	\$0.00	148651.00	\$707,578.76	97.16	4349.00
2.15	GAS COLLECTION TRENCH	CY	10400.00	\$28.13	\$292,552.00	0.00	\$0.00	10400.00	\$292,552.00	100.00	0.00
2.16	STONE FILL-TYPE A	CY	3800.00	\$22.79	\$86,602.00	0.00	\$0.00	3800.00	\$86,602.00	100.00	0.00
2.16	STONE FILL-TYPE B	CY	1500.00	\$21.20	\$31,800.00	0.00	\$0.00	1090.50	\$23,118.60	72.70	409.50
2.16	STONE FILL-TYPE C	CY	500.00	\$21.20 \$24.17	\$12,085.00	0.00	\$0.00	262.40	\$6,342.21	52.48	237.60
2.17	GEOTEXTILE FILTER-TYPE A	SY	352000.00	\$0.89	\$313,280.00	0.00	\$0.00	345240.20	\$307,263.78	98.08	6759.80
2.17	GEOTEXTILE FILTER-TYPE B	SY	197000.00	\$0.89 \$0.92	\$181,240.00	0.00	\$0.00	187378.00	\$172,387.76	95.12	9622.00
							\$0.00	22000.00	\$172,387.70	100.00	0.00
2.18 2.18	GEOGRID-TYPE A GEOGRID-TYPE B	SY	22000.00	\$7.17	\$157,740.00	0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	10000.00	\$36,900.00	100.00	0.00
		SY	10000.00	\$3.69	\$36,900.00	0.00	-		\$144,099.12	92.51	4417.00
2.19	GEONET	SY	59000.00	\$2.64	\$155,760.00	0.00	\$0.00	54583.00		100.00	0.00
2.20	EROSION CONTROL MATTING	SY	35000.00	\$6.18	\$216,300.00	0.00	\$0.00	35000.00	\$216,300.00	100.00	0.00
2.21	CREEK MODIFICATION	LS	1.00	\$143,502.20	\$143,502.20	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$143,502.20		0.00
2.22	ROADWAY	SY	9800.00	\$16.09	\$157,682.00	0.00	\$0.00	9800.00	\$157,682.00	100.00	
2.23	BITUMOUS CONCRETE PAVEME	SY	2300.00	\$21.82	\$50,186.00	0.00	\$0.00	2300.00	\$50,186.00	100.00	0.00
2.24	GEOMENBRANE	SY	174500.00	\$2.88	\$502,560.00	0.00	\$0.00	170285.00	\$490,420.80	97.58	4215.00
2.25	LEACHATE COLLECTION MANH	LS	1.00	\$22,060.50	\$22,060.50	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$22,060.50	100.00	0.00
2.25	WELL CHAMBERS	EA	4.00	\$794.00	\$3,176.00	0.00	\$0.00	4.00	\$3,176.00	100.00	0.00
2.26	MANHOLES-UPGRADIENT INTE	LS	1.00	\$21,145.01	\$21,145.01	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$21,145.01	100.00	0.00
2.27	UPGRADIENT GW INTERCEPTO	LS	1.00	\$117,407.85	\$117,407.85	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$117,407.84	100.00	0.00
2.27	CULVERTS, SURF. DRAINAGE&S	LS	1.00	\$46,024.00	\$46,024.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$46,024.00	100.00	0.00
2.28	FENCING AND SIGNS	LF	6850.00	\$19.24	\$131,794.00	0.00	\$0.00	5138.00	\$98,855.12	75.01	1712.00
2.28	GATES, PERSONAL - 3FT	EA	7.00	\$420.00	\$2,940.00	0.00	\$0.00	7.00	\$2,940.00	100.00	0.00
2.28	GATES, VEHICULAR - 20 FT	EA	1.00	\$840.00	\$840.00	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$840.00	100.00	0.00
											

TITE TITEM						INVOICE:		r			
# QUANTITY BID BID QUANTITY AMOUNT INVOICED INVOICED COMPLETED REMAINING 2.29 SETTLEMENT MONUMENTS EA 32.00 \$367.50 \$11,760.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$2.00 \$11,760.00 100.00 0.00 2.30 TOPSOIL -6" LAYER SY 192000.00 \$2.35 \$451,200.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$171950.00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050.00 2.31 SEEDING ACRE 50.00 \$1,443.75 \$72,187.50 0.00 \$0.00 30.00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050.00 2.32 LANDSCAPING I. ACR 20.00 \$2,772.00 \$55,440.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 \$500.00 \$75,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 \$51.74 \$91,037.10 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 0.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 4500.00 \$136,125.00 88.24 600.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE C LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$23,109.45 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,099.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 1.00 \$231,099.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.01 MANHOLE FUMPS EA 1.00 \$238,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.01 LEACH TO COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$81,125.00 \$81,1				TRICIL		O&M Invoice		TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
# QUANTITY BID BID QUANTITY AMOUNT INVOICED INVOICED COMPLETED REMAINING 2.29 SETTLEMENT MONUMENTS EA 32.00 \$367.50 \$11,760.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$2.00 \$11,760.00 100.00 0.00 2.30 TOPSOIL -6" LAYER SY 192000.00 \$2.35 \$451,200.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$171950.00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050.00 2.31 SEEDING ACRE 50.00 \$1,443.75 \$72,187.50 0.00 \$0.00 30.00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050.00 2.32 LANDSCAPING I. ACR 20.00 \$2,772.00 \$55,440.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 \$500.00 \$75,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 \$51.74 \$91,037.10 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 0.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 4500.00 \$136,125.00 88.24 600.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE C LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$23,109.45 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,099.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 1.00 \$231,099.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.01 MANHOLE FUMPS EA 1.00 \$238,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,094.5 100.00 0.00 15.01 LEACH TO COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$81,125.00 \$81,1	ITE ITEM	UNIT ESI	SIMATED	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	INV #25	INV #25	OUANTITY	AMOUNT	% PERCENT	QUANTITY
2.29 SETTLEMENT MONUMENTS EA 32.00 \$367.50 \$11,760.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$2.00 \$11,760.00 100.00 0.00 2.30 TOPSOIL -6" LAYER SY 192000.00 \$2.35 \$451,200.00 0.00 \$0.00 171950.00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050.00 2.30 TOPSOIL -4" LAYER SY 33000.00 \$1.82 \$60,060.00 0.00 \$0.00 171950.00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050.00 2.31 SEEDING ACRE \$0.00 \$1,443.75 \$772,187.50 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 49.95 \$772,115.31 99.90 0.05 2.32 LANDSCAPING 1. ACR 20.00 \$2,772.00 \$55,440.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 \$500.00 \$75,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 \$551.74 \$91,037.10 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 \$0.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 \$0.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 \$0.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH. PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$45,403.83 \$45,403.83 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$50,403.83 100.00 \$0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$23,769.24 52,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$20,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$23,109.45 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 \$15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 \$0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$84,4154.00 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 \$15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$84,4154.00 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$157,697.40 100.00 0.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANN&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$24,453.92 \$659.54 \$83.00 15.05 GAS COLLECTION LINE- LF 3700.00 \$6.62 \$54,240.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,453.92 \$659.54 \$632.00 \$15.05 \$6450 \$655.77 \$00.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 \$0.00 \$15.05 \$6650 \$84,240.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$217.00 \$24,4560.00 \$98.65 \$0.00 \$15.05 \$6650 \$84,240.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$24,4560.00 \$86.55 \$94,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$27,45 \$365.95 \$94,284.0								1	INVOICED	COMPLETED	REMAINING
2.30 TOPSOIL -6" LAYER SY 19200:00 \$2.35 \$451,200:00 0.00 \$0.00 171950:00 \$404,082.50 89.56 20050:00 2.30 TOPSOIL -4" LAYER SY 33000:00 \$1.82 \$60,060:00 0.00 \$0.00 3000 3000:00 \$60,060:00 100:00 0.00 2.31 SEEDING ACRE 50.00 \$1,443.75 \$72,187.50 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 49.95 \$72,115.31 99.90 0.05 2.32 LANDSCAPING 1.4 CR 20.00 \$2,772.00 \$55,440:00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 \$500.00 \$75,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 \$1.0	·					`					
2.30 TOPSOIL -4" LAYER SY 33000.00 S1.82 S60,060.00 C.00 S0.00 33000.00 S60,060.00 100.00 C.00 2.31 SEEDING ACRE 50.00 S1,443.75 S72,187.50 C.00 S0.00 49.95 S72,115.31 99.90 C.05 2.32 LANDSCAPING 1. ACR 20.00 S2,772.00 S55,440.00 C.00 S0.00 17.80 S49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 S500.00 S75,000.00 C.00 S0.00 121.51 S60,755.00 81.01 28.49 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 S551.74 S91,037.10 C.00 S0.00 121.51 S60,755.00 81.01 28.49 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 S50.00 S551.74 S91,037.10 C.00 S0.00 165.00 S91,037.10 100.00 C.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-L TO B LS 1.00 S45,403.83 S45,403.83 C.00 S0.00 1.00 S0.00 S136,125.00 88.24 600.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE CR LS 1.00 S23,769.24 S20,769.24 C.00 S0.00 1.00 S0.00 1.00 S23,769.24 100.00 C.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 S28,497.00 S28,497.00 S0.00					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-				
2.31 SEEDING ACRE 50.00 \$1,443.75 \$72,187.50 0.00 \$0.00 49.95 \$72,115.31 99.90 0.05 2.32 LANDSCAPING 1.ACR 20.00 \$2,772.00 \$55,440.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$17.80 \$49,341.60 89.00 2.20 3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 \$50.00 \$75,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 121.51 \$60,755.00 81.01 28.49 5.01 \$TEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 \$51.74 \$91,037.10 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 0.00 5.01 \$TEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 4500.00 \$136,125.00 88.24 660.00 5.01 \$TEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-L TO B LS 1.00 \$45,403.83 \$45,403.83 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$45,403.83 100.00 0.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$22,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$231,009.45 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$231,009.45 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$230,000 10.00 \$15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$230,000 10.00 \$230,000 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$220.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.07 \$63.80 \$24,963.92 \$86.95 \$483.00 15.07 \$63.80 \$24,963.92 \$86.95 \$483.00 \$15.07 \$63.80 \$24,963					7 1						
2.32 LANDSCAPING				-							
3.01 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE CY 150.00 \$500.00 \$75,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 121.51 \$60,755.00 81.01 28.49 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 \$551.74 \$91,037.10 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 0.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF 5100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$136,125.00 88.24 600.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-L TO B LS 1.00 \$45,403.83 \$45,403.83 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$45,403.83 100.00 0.00 5.02 MISC, METALS-LEACH, PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$221,009.45 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$2231,009.45 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 0.10 \$2,842.75 9.98 0.90 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 1250.00 \$8,125.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 \$9.60 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$50.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000 \$524,963.92 86.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000 \$24,963.92 86.95 \$483.00 15.08 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00				•			•				
5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-POSTS EA 165.00 \$551.74 \$91,037.10 0.00 \$0.00 165.00 \$91,037.10 100.00 0.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF \$100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$450.00 \$136,125.00 88.24 600.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-L TO B LS 1.00 \$45,403.83 \$45,403.83 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$45,403.83 100.00 0.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$22,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$22,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEGROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$221,009.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.0											
5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-HORIZO LF \$100.00 \$30.25 \$154,275.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$4500.00 \$136,125.00 88.24 600.00 5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-L TO B LS 1.00 \$45,403.83 \$45,403.83 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$45,403.83 100.00 0.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$22,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$231,009.45 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 0.10 \$23,427.75 9.98 0.90 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 \$11.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000.00 \$21,000.00				*							
5.01 STEEL PIPE SUPPORTS-L TO B LS 1.00 \$45,403.83 \$45,403.83 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$45,403.83 100.00 0.00 5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH. PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$20,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$231,009.45 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 0.10 \$2,842.75 9.98 0.90 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 \$1.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$20.00 \$8,125.00 100.00 0.00<											
5.02 MISC. METALS-LEACH PIPE CR LS 1.00 \$20,769.24 \$20,769.24 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$20,769.24 100.00 0.00 13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$231,009.45 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 0.10 \$23,42.75 9.98 0.90 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$10.00 \$21,000.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$20.00 \$8,125.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$, , i			1	•		
13.01 COLLECTION CONTROL BUILDI LS 1.00 \$231,009.45 \$231,009.45 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$231,009.45 100.00 0.00 13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 0.10 \$2,842.75 9.98 0.90 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 3100.00 \$7.45 \$23,095.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,								i i			
13.02 METEOROLOGICAL STATION LS 1.00 \$28,497.00 \$28,497.00 0.00 \$0.00 0.10 \$2,842.75 9.98 0.90 15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 11.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 3100.00 \$7.45 \$23,095.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$50.00 \$50,100.16 92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.0								1			
15.01 MANHOLE PUMPS EA 11.00 \$5,786.87 \$63,655.57 0.00 \$0.00 \$1.00 \$63,655.57 100.00 0.00 15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$20.00 \$8,125.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF \$100.00 \$7.45 \$23,095.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF \$2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$100.00 \$100.00 0.00 15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF \$200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$24,963.92 \$6.95 483.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF \$3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.								1	•		
15.02 WELL PUMPS EA 4.00 \$5,250.00 \$21,000.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$21,000.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$1250.00 \$8,125.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 3100.00 \$7.45 \$23,095.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$100.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$1.00 \$157,697.40 100.00 0.00 15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF 8200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$20.00 \$50,100.16 \$92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00					. ,			i i			
15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 1250.00 \$6.50 \$8,125.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$1250.00 \$8,125.00 100.00 0.00 15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 3100.00 \$7.45 \$23,095.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$100.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$1.00 \$157,697.40 100.00 0.00 15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF 8200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$50,100.16 92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00					,						
15.03 LEACHATE COLLECTION LINE- LF 3100.00 \$7.45 \$23,095.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$100.00 \$23,095.00 100.00 0.00 15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$1.00 \$157,697.40 100.00 0.00 15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF 8200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$50.00 \$50,100.16 92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00											0.00
15.04 LEACHATE TRANSFER LINE LF 2230.00 \$19.80 \$44,154.00 0.00 \$0.00 \$200.00 \$43,560.00 98.65 30.00 15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 \$1.00 \$157,697.40 100.00 0.00 15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF 8200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 7568.00 \$50,100.16 92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00								1			0.00
15.05 MECH EQUIPMENT-TANK&PIPI LS 1.00 \$157,697.40 \$157,697.40 0.00 \$0.00 1.00 \$157,697.40 100.00 0.00 15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF 8200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 7568.00 \$50,100.16 92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00				-				ı	•		30.00
15.06 GAS COLLECTION LINE-6" LF 8200.00 \$6.62 \$54,284.00 0.00 \$0.00 7568.00 \$50,100.16 92.29 632.00 15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00)	•		0.00
15.07 GAS COLLECTION LINE-8" LF 3700.00 \$7.76 \$28,712.00 0.00 \$0.00 3217.00 \$24,963.92 86.95 483.00	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~							i i	•		632.00
					. 1					86.95	483.00
15.08 GAS COLLECTION LINE-10" LF 1800.00 \$10.21 \$18,378.00 0.00 \$0.00 1500.00 \$15,315.00 83.33 300.00				•				1500.00	•	83.33	300.00
								520.00	\$13,072.80	80.00	130.00
	15.10 GAS TRANSFER LINE	LF	2150.00			0.00		2056.00	\$73,584.24	95.63	94.00
	15.11 MOISTURE SEPARATOR			•	, I			1.00	\$37,796.85	100.00	0.00
	15.12 CONDENSATE TRAP			•			\$0.00	0.90	\$19,917.01	90.00	0.10
	15.13 CARBON DIOXIDE EXT.	LS	1.00	•	\$197.803.20	0.00	\$0.00	1.00	\$197,803.20	100.00	0.00
	15.14 GAS COLLECTION LINE-FRP				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1.00	\$38,710.00	100.00	0.00
	16.01 ELECTRICAL AND TELEPHONE	LS	1.00	\$32,623.50	\$32,623.50	0.00		1.00	\$32,623.50	100.00	0.00
	16.02 ELECTRICAL WORK	LS								100.00	0.00
	16.03 INSTRUMENT AN CONTROL				. , ,			1.00	\$296,253.29	100.00	0.00

INVOICE AMOUNT INVOICE RETAINAGE INVOICE AMOUNT LESS RETAINAGE

OVERALL PROJECT SUMMARY

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT \$16,942,688.57
TOTAL CONTRACT INVOICES TO DATE (IN \$15,812,870.17 (No Rejamonop))

REMAINING FUNDING FROM ORIGINAL CO \$1,129,818.40

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

10/18/93

E TRACKING/TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

03/19/97

									FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
BUR	DCR	BCM's				UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	INVOICE			QUANTITY					QUANTITY
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTII	Y	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	REMAINING
1	N/A	92016-09	FURNISH AND INSTALL RISER								-	··					
			AND CAP FOR GM-22	1	LS	\$288.75	\$288.75	\$0.00	1	\$488.75	\$48.88	\$0.00	COMPLETE				
			AND CONVERT GM-29B TO GAS														
			COLLECTION SYSTEM	1	LS	\$200.00	\$0.00	\$200.00									
2	N/A	92016-10	ADDITIONAL SILT FENCING														
			REINFORCED	1500	LF	\$1.89	\$2,835.00	\$0.00									
			STANDARD	9000	LF	\$1.82	\$0.00	\$16,380.00	7200	\$13,104.00	\$1,310.40	1800	5-27-93	194.4	\$353.81	\$35.81	1605.6
													COMPLETE				
3	3	93-016-13	CLEARING & GRUBBING	1	LS	\$55,072.50	\$0.00	\$55,072.50	1	\$55,072.50	\$5,507.25	0	COMPLETE				
						-											
5	2	92016-11	CREEK REALIGNMENT	1	LS	\$1,463.58	\$0.00	\$1,463.58	1	\$1,463.58	\$146.36	0	COMPLETE				
						•		•		•							
•																	
6	FO 1	92016-14	80K STOCKPILE INCREASE	45000	CY	\$2.17	\$97,650.00	\$0.00	32089	\$69,633.13	\$6,963.31	12911	6-25-94	12911	\$28,016.87	\$2,801.69	0
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	OF +45K TYPE B MATERIAL			4	43.1,33.1111	•		4,	4.1,		COMPLETE		,		
														 HARGE IN ORIGI	NAL CONTRA	.CT	
													0.2.2 0 1.2.10.1	I			
	•																
9	6	93016-16	MANHOLE RETROFIT	1	LS	\$39,329.37	\$0.00	\$39,329.37	1	\$39,329.37	\$3,932.94	0	COMPLETE				
,	u	22010-10	matrom rollo	1	ومد	ا لىدىكىلىدى دىپ	φυ.υυ	·	*	ا قد برمد قدوبر قدب	ψ υ, ,νυμ.ν.τ	v					
														1			

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

10/18/93

E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

03/19/97

									FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
BUR	DCR	BCM's				UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	INVOICE			QUANTITY					QUANTITY
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTIT	Y	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	REMAINING
10	7	93016-17	ADDITIONAL TRENCHING @ BCSWTF	1	LS	\$2,437.00	\$0.00	\$2,437.00	67.26%	\$1,639.22	\$163.92	32.74%	COMPLETE				
11	NA 12		ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES -OF SCB MATERIAL -OF SB MATERIAL -ADD COMMOM FILL/TYPE B -ADD. GAS TRENCH GEOGRID CORNER DETAIL	500 7000 15000 2600	SF SF CY CY	\$23.70 \$7.80 \$2.17 \$28.13	\$11,850.00 \$54,600.00 \$32,550.00 \$73,138.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	6796	\$53,008.80	\$5,300.88	204	COMPLETE	257.6	\$7,246.29	\$0.00	2342.4
15	12		-TYPE A -TYPE B -SURVEYING -5% CONTRACTOR'S FEE	4225 860 28 1	SY SY HR LS	\$7.17 \$3.69 \$124.47 \$174.26	\$30,293.25 \$3,169.71 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$3,485.16 \$174.26	901 591.8	\$6,460.17 \$2,183.74	\$646.02 \$218.37	3324 268.2	1-10-95 1-10-95 COMPLETE	14 1	\$1,742.58 \$87.13	\$0.00 (<i>i8</i> 2	2.99) 0 0
16	9	93016-19	CONCRETE ENCASEMENT ALONG TRANSFER LINE	1	LS	\$20,631.01	\$0.00	\$20,631.01	1	\$20,631.01	\$2,063.10	0	COMPLETE				
18	10	93016-21	SED BASIN B SOLIDIFICATION	1	LS	\$17,810.00	\$0.00	\$17,810.00	1	\$17,810.00	\$1,781.00	0	COMPLETE	✓			

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE 10/18/93 03/19/97

									1	FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
	BUR #	DCR #	BCM's	DESCRIPTION	QUANTT	ſΥ	UNIT PRICE	COST OF EXTRAS	COST OF SUPPLS.	INVOICE QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	QUANTITY REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	QUANTITY REMAINING
·	19	13	94016-23	RGS CONDUIT/LEACHATE CON PANEL BRACING	VT 1	LS	\$6,456.17	\$0.00	\$6,456.17	1	\$6,456.17	\$645.62	0	COMPLETE				· · ·
	21	NA	94016-25	CLOSE WELL GM-39	1	LS	\$6,895.04	\$0.00	\$6,895.04	93.18%	\$6,424.70	\$642.47	6.82%	COMPLETE				
√°	22	27	94016-26	MOISTURE SEPARATOR	1	LS	\$1,542.51	\$0.00	\$1,542.51	1	\$1,542.51	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
	23	25	94016-27	LEACHATE REMOVAL	1 .	LS	\$68,108.26	\$0.00	\$68,108.26	80.00%	\$54,486.61	\$5,448.66	20.00%	2-22-94	4.245652%	\$2,891.64	\$289.16	15.754348%
	24	22	94016-28	EXHAUST FAN DAMPER MOTO	R I	LS	\$934.50	\$0.00	\$934.50	1	\$934.50	\$93.45	0	COMPLETE	√			

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

10/18/93

E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

03/19/97

									FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
BUR	DCR	BCM's				UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	INVOICE			QUANTITY		1			QUANTITY
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTIT	Y	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	REMAINING
25	24		EROSOIN CONTROL MATTING		LS	\$55,063.80	\$0.00	\$55,063.80	1	\$55,063.80	\$5,506.38	0	COMPLETE	1			
20	2.	7.010.50	Zitoboh (ooi (iitob (iii ii ii ii o	•		400,000.00	40.00	000,	-	4,	,						•
26	20	94016-29	MET STATION CREDIT	NA									CREDIT TO	! ORIGINAL CONT!	RACT		
20	20	24010 22	WILL DIVELLE CONTRACTOR	1412									COMPLETE				
27	1 8A	93016-31	SEEPAGE MONITOR	1	LS	\$6,215.80	\$0.00	\$6,215.80	1	\$6,215.80	\$621.58	0	COMPLETE				
24,	.011	75010 51		•		40, 220,000	4 3.33	••,	-	4.7	•						
28	26	94016-32	CARBON UNIT AND	1	LS	\$14,485.80	\$0.00	\$14,485.80	1	\$14,485.80	\$1,448.58	0	COMPLETE				
			SUPPORT STRUCTURE	_		•- ,	7	2 - 1 , 1 - 1 - 1		. ,	•						
•																	
29	28	94016-33	ENERGY DISSIPATOR PD4	1	LS	\$15,660.50	\$0.00	\$15,660.50	I	\$15,660.50	\$1,566.05	0	COMPLETE				
		,				• •	2	,		. ,	•						
30	22	94016-34	LEACHATE PUMPS	1	LS	\$1,943.55	\$0.00	\$1,943.55	1	\$1,943.55	\$194.36	0	COMPLETE				
20				•		<i></i>			-	- ·· / - · · - · - ·							
									l					1			

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT
E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

10/18/93

03/19/97

	R DC		DECEMBER	01112	**	UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	FIRST	COST	RETAINAGE	QUANTITY		2ND INVOICE QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	QUANTITY REMAINING
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTIT	Y .	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	KETAINAGE	REMINING	DAIE	QUANTITI		DAMAIS	REMAINING
31	NA	94016-3	ADDITIONAL GEOGRID	3500	SY	\$3.69	\$12,915.00	\$0.00	268.2	\$989.66	\$98.97	3231.8	2-22-94 COMPLETE	931.2	\$3,436.13	\$343.61	2568.8
32	NA	94016-3	6 MANHOLE RINGS										NOT REQUI	RED			
33	31	94016-31	GAS TRENCH SUMP	1	LS	\$1,357.26	\$0.00	\$1,357.26	1	\$1,357.26	\$135.73	0	COMPLETE				
34 .	32/3	3 94016-31	INCREASD MANHOLE ELEVAT	I 1	LS	\$13,466.20	\$0.00	\$13,466.20	1	\$13,466.20	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
35	NA ·	94016-39	ADDITIONAL WELL SEALING GM-39	1	LS	\$932.40	\$0.00	\$932.40	1	\$932.40	\$93.24	0	COMPLETE				

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT
E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

10/18/93 03/19/97

BUF #	R DCR	BCM's #	DESCRIPTION	QUANTT	ΓY	UNIT PRICE	COST OF	COST OF SUPPLS.	FIRST INVOICE QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	QUANTITY REMAINING		2ND INVOICE QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	QUANTITY REMAINING
36	26	94016-40	CARBON UNIT ELECTRICAL ONLY INCLUDING GROUNDING	1	LS	\$11,392.02	\$0.00	\$11,392.02	1	\$11,392.02	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
37	3	94016-41	C2 CULVERT DRAINAGE SWAL	E I	LS	\$3,328.39	\$0.00	\$3,328.39	1	\$3,328.39	\$0.00	С	COMPLETE				•
38	33	94016-42	STORAGE ROOM DAMPER MOT	1	LS	\$2,398.97	\$0.00	\$2,398.97	1	\$2,398.97	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
39	35	94016 -43	PRESSURE SWITCHES	1	LS	\$758.74	\$0.00	\$758.74	1	\$758.74	\$75.87	0	COMPLETE				
40	34A	94016-44	EXPANSION LOOP REDESIGN	1	LS	\$13,585.81	\$0.00	\$13,585.81	I	\$13,585.81	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
41	36	94016-45	FRENCH DRAIN &										COMPLETE				

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

10/18/93 03/19/97

E TRACKING/TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

									FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
BUR	DCR	BCM's				UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	INVOICE			QUANTITY					QUANTITY
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTIT	Y ,	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	REMAINING
			STONE ACCESS RAMP					*********		················					2.5.5		
			TYPE-A STONE	381.2	CY	\$22.97	\$8,756.16	\$0.00	381.2	\$8,756.16	\$875.62	0					
			FILTER	1150	SY	\$0.89	\$1,023.50	\$0.00	1150	\$1,023.50	\$102.35	0					
			1.5" STONE	1	LS	\$1,135.44	\$0.00	\$1,135.44	1	\$1,135.44	\$113.54	0					
42	37	94016-46	GALVANIZED DUCT WORK	1	LS	\$475.21	\$0.00	\$475.21	1	\$475.21	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
													•.				
43	40	94016-47	ANCHORAGE ON 1" FORCE MA	I 1	LS	\$1,846.92	\$0.00	\$1,846.92	1	\$1,846.92	\$184.69	0	COMPLETE				
			•														
		•															
44	39/42	94016-48	STEEL EXTENSIONS	2	AC	\$551.74	\$1,103.48	\$0.00	2	\$1,103.48	\$110.35	0	COMPLETE				
				34	LF	\$30.25	\$1,028.50	\$0.00	34	\$1,028.50	\$102.85	0					
				1	LS	\$2,612.00	\$0.00	\$2,612.00	1	\$2,612.00	\$261.20	0					
•																	
45		94016-49	LEACHATE GLAND SEAL	1	LS	\$3,605.42	\$0.00	\$3,605.42	1	\$3,605.42	\$360.54	0	COMPLETE				
	54		PRESSURE RELIEF VENT														
			WATER REMOVAL FROM BCRF	RC WET WE	ELL												
			-						_								
46	46	94016-50	INSPECTION ROAD	1	LS	\$3,911.60	\$0.00	\$3,911.60	I	\$3,911.60	\$391.16	0	COMPLETE				
			ADDITIONAL COMMON FILL TY	YPE A		•											

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

10/18/93

E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

03/19/97

									FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
BUR	DCR	BCM's				UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	INVOICE			QUANTITY					QUANTITY
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTIT	TY.	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	REMAINING
47	NA			N-17	*												·
														<u> </u>			
48	49	94016-52	ADDITIONAL SUPPORTS	1	LS	\$4,990.03	\$0.00	\$4,990.03	1	\$4,990.03	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
			FRP PIPE BRIDGE														
40	274																
49	NA																
50	48	94016-54	ADDITIONAL STONE	1	LS	\$7,812.05	\$0.00	\$7,812.05	1	\$7,812.05	\$781.21	0	COMPLETE				
50		3.010 3.	AT PD3 & PD4	•	.30	07,012.00	00.00	0,,022	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4.1.1.1.1						
51	NA																
52	51	94016-56	THREE WIRE CONTROL BOXES	1	LS	\$2,948.84	\$0.00	\$2,948.84	1	\$2,948.84	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
				-													
53	53	94016-57	ADD RAISE LEW 10 PANELS	I	7 9	\$1,546.50	\$0.00	\$1,546.50	1	\$1,546.50	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
	-	34010-31	ADD RAISE LEW TO FARELS	•	فمد	#1,J40.J0	φυ.υυ	ΨI,570.50	•	ψ1,5 ⁻ 10.50	Ψ0.00	V	001111111111111111111111111111111111111				
														-			
54	NA																
			•														
55	55	94016-59	STORAGE ROOM HEATER	1	LS	\$1,237.56	\$0.00	\$1,237.56	1	\$1,237.56	\$123.76	0	COMPLETE				
														l			

LS \$4,270.90

\$0.00

\$4,270.90

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

62 94016-64 EXTRA O&M

10/18/93 03/19/97

E TRACKING/TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

ONTRACT: A49921

2ND INVOICE FIRST INVOICE QUANTITY BUR DCR BCM's UNIT COST OF COST OF QUANTITY PRICE **EXTRAS** SUPPLS. QTY COST RETAINAGE REMAINING DATE QUANTITY COST ETAINAG REMAINING DESCRIPTION QUANTITY \$881.19 \$881.19 \$0.00 COMPLETE 60 94016-61 ADD ELECTRICAL AT FLOWME \$881.19 \$0.00 57 94016-61 TWO EXIT SIGNS LS \$676.71 \$0.00 \$676.71 \$676.71 COMPLETE 58 NA 59 NA \$1,318.59 COMPLETE 94016-55 EXTRA MOTOR DAMPER LS \$1,318.59 \$1,318.59 \$0.00 \$0.00 COMPLETE 61 NA 94016-63 PUMP CLEANOUT LS \$13,405.68 \$0.00 \$13,405.68 CO IN PROGRESS INVOICE IN HAND SINCE 12/19/94 DR LS \$4,923.38 \$4,923.38 \$0.00 COMPLETE 94016-63 UNIT PRICE OVERRUNS \$0.00 \$4,923.38

\$0.00

\$0.00

COMPLETE CO IN PROGRESS

ECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

10/18/93

E TRACKING/ TRICIL ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE

03/19/97

ONTRACT: A49921

								FIRST					2ND INVOICE			
BUR	DCR	BCM's			UNIT	COST OF	COST OF	INVOICE			QUANTITY					QUANTITY
#	#	#	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PRICE	EXTRAS	SUPPLS.	QTY	COST	RETAINAGE	REMAINING	DATE	QUANTITY	COST	ETAINAG	REMAINING
	· · · ·											INVOICE IN	HAND SINCE 12/	19/94		
64	NA											MA				
					•											
65	64	94016-65	UNIT PRICE OVERRUNS #2	1 LS	\$13,405.68	\$0.00	\$13,405.68	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
									•			CO IN PROG	RESS			
												INVOICE IN	HAND SINCE 12/	19/94		
66	NA											DR	I			
67	NA															
. 68	NA	94016-69	ADDITIONAL GROUNDING	1 LS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	COMPLETE				
												CO IN PROG	RESS			
												INVOICE IN	HAND SINCE 12/	19/94		
												DF				
						\$331,201.35	\$452,512.30		\$544,080.74	\$48,060.64			.l	\$43,774.44	\$3,470.27	

INVOICE TRACKING TOTALS:

\$783,713.65 :TOTAL COST OF CHANGE ORDERS TO DATE

\$587,855.18 :TOTAL COST INVOICED

75.01% :PERCENT COMPLETE

\$51,530.91 :RETAINAGE HELD





State of New Jersey

Christine Todd Whitman

Department of Environmental Protection

Robert C. Shinn, Jr. Commissioner

Tuesday, July 15, 1997

MEMORANDUM

To:

Art Esposito, Supervising Accountant

Financial and Support Operations

Through:

Anthony Farro, Director

Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation

Through:

Edward Putnam, Assistant Director

Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation

Through:

George King, R.E., Chief

Bureau of Construction

Through:

From:

Subject:

Dennis F. Reinknecht, Construction Manager Bureau of Construction Final Contract Settlement and Retainage Release

Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project

Burlington County, New Jersey

Contract:

A49921

Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc.

Attached as Enclosure 1, please find a completed Retainage Release Form DEP-052, a Final Contract Settlement/Release of Claims Form and Retainage Release Invoice signed by the Contractor, Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc. for the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project. All work has been completed to the satisfaction of the DEP. There are no items outstanding on this project. Therefore, I request retainage be released for this project in the final amount of \$600,000.00.

Please find enclosed the following documentation supporting Final Settlement and Retainage Release.

Enclosure 2: Retainage Amount Tracking Documentation Enclosure 3: Slurry Wall Claim Offer Documentation

Enclosure 4: Pump Cleanout Documentation
Enclosure 5: Special Damages Documentation

Enclosure 6: Saturday and Holiday Special Damages Documentation

Thank you for your consideration regarding this matter. If you have any questions please call me at 984-

c. BC File

3/97

Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation (DPFSR)

Final Contract Settlement

PROJECT NAME AND LOCATION	COOP. AGREEMENT NO. and/or AUTHORIZATION NO.	V 00259584-5
Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project Burlington County, New Jersey	CONTRACT NO.	A 49921
·		

· L PROPOSAL

A. DESCRIPTION OF SETTLEMENT

In accordance with Article 16.12 Waiver of Claims, and as a condition of final payment, Laidlaw Environmental Services, d.b.a. Tricil Environmental Response, under Contract Number A49921, dated November 12, 1991, between Laidlaw and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, having reached final completion of the construction, operation and maintenance of the Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project, Burlington County, NJ., hereby releases the State of New Jersey, its officers and agents from all claims and demands arising under or by virtue of this contract.

Laidlaw hereby accepts the sum of \$600,000.00 in retainage as the final payment due and owing under the contract, and waives any rights to additional retainage or costs incurred under this contract.

In addition, the State of New Jersey hereby releases Laidlaw from any liquidated damages in accordance with Article 11.1 Liquidated Damages and any claims and/or damages related to the pipe drop failure and subsequent repair in the fall of 1994.

Unless otherwise specified herein or by New Jersey Law or otherwise expressly agreed to by the parties to this Contract A49921, final payment under this Contract shall not constitute a waiver of the State's claims against Laidlaw or his sureties under this Contract or the NIDEP's right to continued performance of the Contractor's obligations pursuant to the Contract, including all applicable warranties and guarantees.

B. COST BREAKDOWN FOR SETTLEMENT

1	Total Retainage Amount Withheld To Date	S	898,665.0Q
1.	Total Retainings of Street Well Chin Office	\$	62,759.71
2.	Acceptance of the NJDEP Slurry Wall Claim Offer.1	-	13,405.68
3.	Acceptance of the final cost proposal for pump cleanout.2		
	Acceptance of responsibility for additional special damages (Acres).	(\$	330,145.84)
4.	Acceptance of responsibility to administration of the second	76	44.684.55)
5.	Acceptance of responsibility Saturday and Holiday special damages.4	-19	,00-,,55 1

Final Settlement Amount

\$ 600,000.00

Enclosed Referen

- Enclosed References:
 I. As transmitted by letter to Laidfaw on March 8, 1993.
 2. As received by letter to NIDEP on December 16, 1994.
 3. As transmitted by letter to Laidfaw on April 3, 1994.
 As transmitted by letter to Laidfaw on April 3, 1996.

C. CERTIFICATION AND EXECUTION BY CONTRACTOR

I certify that this settlement is accurate.

Mr. Henry H. Taylor, Vice President . Leoni and Reculatory Affi Name and Tide (Typed or Prised)

Leidlaw Environmental Servi

220 Outlet Point Blvd

Columbia, SC 29210

ſ	M. NJOEP APPROVAL AND	EXECUTION	1. 12/	al vio
١	BUREAU CHIEF, BC	Name and Title (Typed or Printed)	jeng d. Alive	7/1-1/97 Dates
	DIRECTOR, DPFSR	Name and Title (Typed or Printed)	Signam	Date
			•	

ENCLOSURE 1. Contract Settlement With Attachments And Transmittal Letter.

DEP-052 3/90



NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PROJECT COMPLETION/RETAINAGE RELEASE

Date Retainage Released
Amount Released

Balance
TO: Invoice Unit Supervisor, Financial & Support Operations Section (FSOS), FMPGS PHONE: 609 332-1976
FROM: DENIUS , NETTO SOLD /RC
TITLE: Construction Mg EgrII UNIT/DIV. DPFSR /BC
AUTHORIZATION NO.: VOO 259584-5 COOP. AGREEMENT NO.: PROJECT.
PROJECT NAME: TOREJOS
LOCATION: BURLINGTON COUNTY NJ
CONTRACT AMT. 16, 942, 688, 57 CONTRACT NO. (If Site Specific): A49921
VENDOR: LAID ENVIRONMENTA SERVICES ARA PRICIL
1123 Lumpkin Rd
TIOUSION , TEXAS (TO
THIS IS TO NOTIFY FSOS THAT:
 Tasks billed to date have been satisfactorily completed. All specific contract requirements have been met.
 There are no disputed charges between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and the vendor(s).
Certification of Substantial Completion has been issued. \$ must be held to complete punchlist items.
Remaining retainage may be released. RETAINAGE RELEASE STATEMENT:
I certify that the Contractor has completed the work and hereby authorize the release of the retainage.
☐ I hereby certify that partial release of retainage in the amount of \$ is approved.
Final Audit is required or recommended.
The balance of the authorization listed above may be deauthorized.
The authorization listed above should be held open. (State reason in comment section below.)
COMMENTS:
() (/ ff of both
SIGNATURE: Jenniskentineell DATE:
OSETConstruction/Sixff Project/Case Manager
Bureau Chief
Assistant Director +
Division: PFSR / CENSTEUTION.
TO BE COMPLETED BY FINANCIAL & SUPPORT OPERATIONS SECTION, FMPGS
Final Audit has been requested. DATE
Retainage will/has been released. Submit Retainage Invoice.
Retainage cannot be released at this time.
Reason(s):
Signature: Date

		S	TATE	= OI	FNFV	V JERS	SFY			DOC	UME	N	<u> </u>			8	AT	СН		ACTG PER.	FY
AT ME	77.72 Q					DUCH		- TC	┼~	34_		NUM	BER	┵	C	~-	PEH.	├-			
		•				IVOICE		\vdash	PP ST	ART	7 5	SCH	ED PAY	,	IK OF	FF	RF	СК	(A) \	ENDOR NUMBER	4
							Ý DATE	_	O D	YYR	мс	4	DY Y	3 C/	AT LIA	B A	TY	FL	10	NUMBER	1 1
9		P	0#			H									Ì				·		
CONTRA	CTNO	AC	SENCY RE	F	BUYER	(B)	TERMS		P	YEE.	SEE	INS	TRUCT	IONS	FOR	ľ	(C)		TOTAL	MOUNT	
									1		CC		PLETIN								
		(0	11	PAYE	EE NAMI	E AND AD	DRESS				<u> </u>		(E		A 535 A 555				0.00 FORM TO):	
			•								37			-							
						Service	esponse	_		1			jerse al Su				Env	riro	nmenta	I Prote	ect:
			cin Ro			GICAL I	Coponiac	-					Floor				ite	St.			
		_	Texas								CN	413	3								
							 				Tre	ent	ton,N	J 9	8625						
(F)	PAYE	EE D	ECLAR	ATIO	NS											~	1				
CERT	FY TH	AT TI	HE WIT	HIN F	PAYMEN	T VOUCH	ER IS CORF	RECT	IN ALL			_	×C		79		1	· ••••			
HAVE B	BEEN F	URN	ISHED	OR R	ENDER	ED AND T	DS OR SER HAT NO BO	NUS	HAS								_		ATURE		
BEEN C	SIVEN (OR F	RECEIVE	ED O	N ACCO	UNT OF S	AID DOCU	VENT.	•			1	يلسا	ile	·lie	ہے در	ent	-			
												•	24	Ľ,	PAYEE	IJŁ	they	Ai	ZUS BI	LLING DA	TE
LINE NO			R	EFE	REN) E			T				(G)	P A	YEE	RE	FER	ΕN	CE	•	
1	CC	'	- AGY		N	IUMBER —		LINE -	1					•							
2				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •				1	Do	 .+i+		ro	1026	······	nal	Tny	·····	æ		
3				• • • •						1/6	can	w.	Je ·ke	1000	26E. 17.	HAT	· · A11	AOT.	Je		
	FUND	Τ	AGCY	OR	G CODE	SUB-ORG	APPR UNIT	ACTIV	ITY CD	OBJE	CTCD	s	UB-OBJ	R	EV SRCE		SUB-R	EV	PROJE	CT/JOB NO	
1										Ī]
2][.								l				Ţ							
3						<u> </u>				1		<u></u>	1	<u> </u>		AMO	INIT			ID PF	井
	APT (31	BS AC	1 1)T	DESC	RIPTION		-	GUA	NTITY		-	<u>, </u>		AMO	UNI			1 10 1 1	
1 2		····∤		∤-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • •	••••			• • • •	 	• • • •	• • • • • • •		• • • •	• • • • •	•••••••	· ···	• • •
3			• • • • • •	…∤.	+	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••		• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • •	! ·····	• • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •	••••		• • • • • • • • •	1	•••
ITEM	<u> </u>											T		T				T			司
NO.		CC	MMOD	ITY C	ODE/DE	SCRIPTK	ON OF ITEM			QUAN	ΠΤΥ	1.	UNIT		UNI	PRI	CE		AM	KOUNT	
										-		Τ		T							
	FI	ore	anco	Lan	d Pac	ontour	ing Land	4F i	ם ו	roje	at-										İ
					ounty		ing nan	41.1	- F.	ے را	CC.							l			
			ract .			,						İ									İ
								:													
	-																				
	R	eta	ainag	e R	eleas	e (Fin	al)			1		:	LS	\$	600,0	000.	.00		\$600,0	00.00	
																					1
	ı	•												1							
																T	OTAL	+	\$600.0	00 00	\dashv
CERTIFICA	TION BY	RECE	IVING AG	ENÇV:	I certify #	t the above	se have been	n											nt Voucher is		\neg
received of	services r	ender	ed as plate	od Nore	n. //	1. H	Mali	10	∥ ړ	correct	and just	r, and	i payment	m appro	wed.						
Des	rny	D.	X	en	ani	ell	::\H. _. ,'\ !			• • • • •		• • • •				 Qi					
12	T	M		Sign.	3,07/	147.	7/15/9	7						^	uthorized	्रभुगस ्	ul u	:			
Last.	 V ?.	Kin	7	• • • • •	4	·f /	Date	7 1 .	···	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • •	Title	• • • • •		•••	• • •		Date		•
	_						 														



June 23, 1997

Mr. George King, P.E.
Chief, Bureau of Construction
State of New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection
CN 413
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0413

Re: Florence Landfill Recontouring Project

Dear Mr. King:

Enclosed please find the executed Final Contract Settlement Form, Retainage Release Invoice and Corporate Resolution in the above-referenced matter.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

Brett A. Hickman

BAH/hs

enclosures

26

LAIDLAW ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. (the "Company")

DIRECTOR'S RESOLUTIONS

The undersigned, being the only Director of the Company, hereby consents to, adopts and approves the following resolutions and the taking of the following actions:

RESOLVED, that Henry Taylor, Secretary of the Company, is hereby authorized and empowered on behalf of the Company from time to time to negotiate, make, settle the terms of, enter into, amend, sign, and deliver (under the Company's seal if appropriate) contracts, agreements, indemnities and other documents of every nature in connection with the business of the Company.

DATED the 16th day of December, 1996.

Kenneth W. Winger

Vesi\corporate\res22.doc



State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND ENERGY

ROBERT C. SHINN, JR.

Commissioner

Thursday, May 15, 1997

CHRISTINE TODD WHITMAN

Governor Mr. Henry H. Taylor

Vice President, Legal and Regulatory Affairs

Laidlaw Environmental Services

d.b.a. Tricil Environmental Response

220 Outlet Point Blvd

Columbia, SC 29210

Subject:

Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project

Burlington County, New Jersey

Contract: A49921

Re:

Final Retainage Release Invoice and Waiver of All Claims

Dear Mr. Taylor,

This letter is in response to recent communications between Mr. Brett A. Hickman, Esq. of Laidlaw Environmental Services (Laidlaw) and Mr. Dennis Reinknecht of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) regarding Final Retainage Release and Waiver of Claims as required by contract.

A Final Contract Settlement Form (Attachment 1) and a Retainage Release Invoice (Attachment 2) in the amount of \$600,000.00 has been enclosed for you signature. In addition, we have enclosed related correspondence to the settlement to help with your review and acceptance. Please sign and return both documents for processing and payment.

Also, please provide an updated Laidlaw Corporate Resolution authorizing yourself as an officer of the corporation.

Thank you for your consideration regarding this matter. If you have any questions please call Mr. Dennis Reinknecht (609)984-2991.

George King, P.E., Chief

Bureau of Construction

Enclosures

- 1. Final Contract Settlement
- 2. NJDEP letter dated March 8, 1993.
- 3. Laidlaw letter dated December 16, 1994.
- 4. NJDEP letter dated April 3, 1996.
- 5. Retainage Release Invoice.
- A.Farro, Director B. Weltman, DAG T Allen, BC F.Pinto, BCM

Brett Hickman, Esq., Laidlaw

ENclosure: Contract Corpespondence

TAllen



State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

Division of Publicly Funded Site Remediation

CN 413
Trenton, NJ 08625-0413
Tel. # 609-984-2902
Fax.. # 609-633-2360

Scott A. Weiner Commissioner

. . .

Anthony J. Farro Director

March 8, 1993

Mr. Gary Jarutowicz
Project Manager
Tricil Environmental Response, Inc.
RD #1, Box 323
Burlington/Columbus Road
Bordentown, New Jersey 08505

RE: FLORENCE LAND RECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT RESPONSE TO FINAL CLAIM DIFFERING SITE CONDITIONS SLURRY WALL

Dear Mr. Jarutowicz:

This letter is the response of NJDEPE to the Tricil Final Claim, Differing Site Conditions - Slurry Wall. This response is intended as a document of negotiation and any monetary amounts stated herein are not to be considered a commitment of payment from the Department, the State or the USEPA. This letter is for settlement purposes only. This letter is issued on the express condition that any statement herein is not to be construed as an admission by the State of any fact or of any legal liability. It is being issued for specific and limited purpose of attempting to settle the subject claim.

We have reviewed Tricil's claim in great detail and at some expense. Tricil's claim can be broken down into two general components: 1) the quantities of unsuitable material encountered along the slurry wall alignment and the costs associated therewith; and 2) the relocation of slurry wall installation and the costs associated therewith. Our claim response is as follows:

Section I. <u>Issues Related to Quantities of Unsuitable Material</u>
Encountered Along the Slurry Wall Alignment

The amount of unsuitable material encountered along the slurry wall alignment at Sta. 40+40 to Sta. 55+73 is the primary issue raised in Tricil's claim. Much correspondence has been issued regarding this topic, specifically Acres' letters of September 30, 1992 and October 28, 1992, which are the basis for our response (Attachments 1 & 2, respectively).

Prior to a detailed discussion of the slurry wall alignment, the basic interpretation of the Contract Specifications must be The Contract Specifications and boring logs available in the bid package clearly indicated that unsuitable material would be encountered in the backfill soils. The Specification states that backfill material excavated from the trench that is not free of roots, organic matter, refuse or other deleterious material shall be stockpiled within the landfill and replaced with make-up materials imported from an offsite source. Some garbage and trash were clearly expected in the trench at time of bid, as noted in the Further, the Specification provided that any Specifications. excavated soils which could not be used as backfill soils were to be transported, mixed, stabilized with sand or cement and spread and compacted in the disposal area of the landfill, or as directed The cost of encountering this trash in the by the Engineer. backfill soils, separating the trash, and/or importing make-up backfill soils is included in the contract line item and referenced in the measurement and payment section of the soil-bentonite slurry wall Specification.

Soil borings were provided as part of the Specifications. The borings were located at approximately 100 foot intervals along the slurry wall alignment. The Specifications state:

"The Owner does not represent that the available information and samples show the conditions that will be encountered in performing the work, only that the information and samples show conditions encountered at a particular point from which such information and samples were obtained.

Contractor shall assume all responsibility for deductions and conclusions which may be made as to the nature of the materials to be excavated and of doing other work affected by the geology at the site."

To evaluate Tricil's claim, the slurry wall alignment and actual conditions encountered from Sta. 40+00 to Sta. 55+73 must be reviewed. This alignment can be divided into three segments:

Segment 1 - Sta. 40+00 to Sta. 47+50 along the southern face

Even assuming for the sake of argument that the boring logs were to be construed as: an affirmative representation of subsurface conditions which the contractor would encounter along every foot of the slurry wall alignment, conditions encountered by Tricil along this stretch of alignment are consistent with the project boring logs and Specifications. No additional compensation is due the contractor for work performed in this area. Any shortfall of backfill materials and subsequent pugmill down time, is due to the

Contractor's means and methods of operations. For example, Tricil's subcontractor used only one truck for both acceptable fill and unsuitable waste material, without making any attempt to separate the usable fill for later use as backfill. Tricil's subcontractor had no incentive to handle the excavated soils efficiently as they did not have the responsibility to provide the required backfill. Further, the slurry wall excavation could have commenced in areas of imported embankment where there was no risk regarding the type of materials to be encountered in order to assure immediately the availability of excess soils.

Segment 2 - Sta. 47+50 to Sta. 49+25 where GeoCon was significantly off center-line of the slurry wall alignment defined by contract, and Field Order #7

Where the excavation is inboard of the slurry wall centerline and closer to the interior of the landfill, no additional compensation is due Tricil for unsuitable material encountered in this area. Once GeoCon left the directed slurry wall alignment, any delay and additional work due to the excavation in this area are Tricil's responsibilities.

This Tricil misalignment directly led to the significant shortfall of backfill materials to be provided for pugmill backfill operations. This is the primary reason for the down-time delay from September 24, 1992 to September 29, 1992

Segment 3 - Sta. 49+25 to Sta. 51+30 along the southwestern face.

Field Order #8 issued on September 24, 1992 and Field Order #8A issued on September 28, 1992 provided documentation of the State's and Acres' good faith efforts to compensate the Contractor for additional trash encountered after Sta. 50+40 (Attachments 3 & 4, respectively). These field orders were issued as an attempt by the State to deal with the situation at hand based on information then available in an effort to keep the job moving. For settlement purposes only, we are willing to consider that, between Sta. 50+40 to 51+30, the amount of unusable materials present in the trench exceeded that which could have been reasonably anticipated by the Contractor and that the circumstances could be construed to amount to a legitimate change in subsurface conditions. However, with regard to the area from Sta. 49+25 to 50+40, the State's position is that the amount of unsuitable material encountered here is consistent with the project boring logs and specifications.

After careful consideration of the actual costs incurred by Tricil concerning the quantities of unsuitable materials encountered, we are willing to recommend, subject to appropriate and necessary approvals being obtained, that Tricil be paid the amount of \$30,473.81. This amount includes the following:

A. Off-Site Backfill to Replace Unsuitable Material

The amount of replacement backfill required to replace the amount of unusable material removed from Sta 50+40 to 51+30 at full depth by excavated trench width appears to be a reasonable expense.

B. Stabilize and Place Discarded Slurry Wall Excavated Material

The cost of the stabilization and placement of the unsuitable material removed from the slurry wall trench from Sta. 50+40 to 51+30 at full depth by excavated trench width (3½ feet) should be paid. This provides 420 compacted cubic yards (ccy) imported fill material or 500 cubic yards uncompacted quantity using 1.2 cy/ccy swell factor.

We have evaluated Tricil's proposal to stabilize and dispose of 4000 cy (uncompacted) of this unsuitable material. We have evaluated the labor, equipment and material estimates and found them to be fair and reasonable. However, as discussed, the State is responsible to reimburse Tricil for only the stabilization and disposal of 500 cy or 1/8 of the 4000 cy of material used in the Tricil proposal.

C. Replace Trash in Slurry Wall Trench Between Sta. 51+70 and 54+00

Tricil has provided a scope of work and cost proposal for work performed on October 2, 7 and 8, 1992 in accordance with Acres' Field Order #8A (Attachment 4). After careful review of Acres' field reports and records, the Tricil proposal is found to be fair and reasonable.

D. Costs for Delays in Schedule

Tricil has provided no text to substantiate or justify these claims for a nine (9) day project extension. The nine (9) day extension request and associated overhead cost are denied.

E. Remove and Dispose of Slurry Pumped to Sediment Basin "B"

This work is currently being evaluated and processed using a separate change order #18. Tricil concurs with this assessment as Tricil has not included any costs for this work in this claim package.

F. Overhead and Profit (O&P)

As per Article 13.5A.2, a., the Contractor's fee shall not exceed a total of twenty percent (ten percent for overhead and ten percent for profit).

Also, sales taxes are reimbursable only as a direct pass through cost, not subject to overhead and profit.

SECTION (SUMMARY OF CLAIN FOR CHANGED SITE CONDITIONS - STURRY WALL FLORENCE LAND RECONTOURING LANDFILL

		TRICIL'S REQUEST	STATE COST RESPONSE	MATERIAL SALES TAX NOT SUBJECT TO 0 & P*
A)	Offsite backfill to replace unsuitable material	\$30,540.53	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 189.00
B)	Stabilize and place discarded slurry wall excavated material	63,670.00	7,825.10	133.65
C)	Replace trash in slurry wall trench between 51+70 and 54+00	13,987.00	. 13,734.73	252.27
D)	Costs for delays in schedule	64,186.38	-0-	-0-
E)	Remove and dispose of sturry pumped to sediment besin "B"	DCR #10	Change Order #18	Change Order #18
F)	Overhead and Profit	SUBTOTAL 10% Overhead SUBTOTAL 10% Profit	\$24,709.83 <u>2,470.98</u> \$27,180.81 <u>2,718,08</u> \$29,898.89	\$ 574.92 -0- \$ 574.92 -0- \$ 574.92
	:	<u> </u>	TOTAL	\$30,473.81

* g & P defined overhead and profit.

Section II. <u>Issues Related to Relocation of Slurry Wall</u> Installation

There are several issues directly related to the relocation of the trench excavation as directed by the State, on September 29, 1992. These are discussed as follows:

A. Relocations

On September 29, 1992 the State directed Tricil to relocate the slurry wall activities. On this day, a letter was issued to Tricil to relocate trench operations beyond the area of concern, specifically Sta. 51+30, and continue trench operations elsewhere along the alignment. Tricil incurred increased costs for the relocation and the standby of the Linkbelt excavator and the four Komatsu dump trucks.

B. Water Meter Printout at Pugmill

On Thursday, September 24, 1992, Tricil verbally, and subsequently with a letter (Attachment 5), informed Acres that their water meter and its related printout were not operational. Tricil was given immediate verbal permission, by Acres, to continue work, provided they continue to achieve proper SB backfill slump and that Tricil repair the meter prior to start-up on Monday, September 28, 1992, which is in general agreement with Acres letter dated August 20, 1992 (Attachment 6). This action was taken by Acres, in good faith, to allow the work in progress without undue delay.

This meter and its cumulative printout, is a specified requirement (Section 02169 Part 3.04 C & D) for the soilbentonite slurry wall work. This information is used both by Tricil for their operational use, and by Acres and the State as a part of the quality assurance program. The pugmill was not repaired until October 2, 1992. Since the pugmill was not fully functional and failed to meet Contract Specifications from September 24, 1992 until October 2, 1992, we consider this to be the primary reason that standby charges for the pugmill, related equipment and labor, are not due for Thursday, September 24, Friday, September 25, and the entire week of September 28, 1992.

C. Excess Bentonite Slurry Production

We concur with Tricil's estimate for additional bentonite slurry produced by the Contractor to open a second slurry trench beyond Sta. 0+60, based on our redirection letter of September 29, 1992 (Attachment 7). This secondary trench was our responsibility and the Contractor should be reimbursed for labor, equipment and materials used to provide this additional trench slurry.

D. <u>Cave-Ins</u>

On September 30, 1992, Acres issued a letter to Tricil noting that a portion of the slurry wall trench had collapsed sometime between Friday, September 25, 1992 and Monday, September 28, 1992. On September 28, 1992, Acres and Tricil representatives sounded the trench from Sta. 45+60 to 51+30 to determine the extent of any cave-ins. Two cave-in zones at Sta. 50+00 to 50+40 and Sta. 50+80 to 51+20 were found in the area ahead of SB backfill in the unbenched areas where the slurry level in the trench was approximately four (4) feet below the top of trench. Also, over the weekend the site experienced a period of heavy rainfall.

I. Additional Issues

Labor

The State has compared Tricil's certified payroll sheets to the hourly rates proposed in the claim package. All rates have been checked with the exception of Wayne Ten Bruin (Construction Manager) and Jay Bruce (Project Engineer). We will require certification of payroll charges for these two (2) employees prior to contract modification.

Tricil has "double" billed for the linkbelt excavator operators, S. Winzinger and J. Logan. The State will reimburse only one (1) operator. For the purposes of developing a justified cost, we have reimbursed S. Winzinger (the lower rated employee). This will remain until Tricil provides adequate documentation as to which operator was utilized (and when) throughout the Tricil submittal.

Equipment

The State has reviewed Tricil's submitted rates to those provided in "Blue Book" monthly rental rates. Where Tricil's rate exceed those allowable by "Blue Book", Blue Book rates were used. Standby rates are used where appropriate based on the Blue Book.

In performing our estimate, we have excluded the following equipment for reimbursement:

- 1. Radios 41 sets of 4 (16)
- 2. Office/Lunch trailers w/serv.
- 3. Mechanic's truck w/tools
- 4. Mechanic's truck
- 5. Lab trailer
- 6. Pick-up truck
- 7. Blazer
- 8. ATV
- g. Pressure washer
- 10. Aerial lift AT 30C
- 11. Tool trailers
- 12. Chain saw

These items are considered part of Tricil's operation and maintenance overhead included in the rental rates and overhead for the primary equipment used at the site.

SECTION 2 SURSARY OF CLAIN FOR CHANGED SITE CONDITIONS — SLURRY MALL.
FLORENCE LAND RECONTOURING LANDFILL

			STATE COST	RESPONSE
	•	OPERATING	STANDBY	SALES TAX
A)	Relocations	\$ 3,164.56	\$ 1,739.52	\$.0.00
8)	Water Meter Printout at Pugmill	0.00		
C)	Excess Bentonite Sturry Production	9,590.66	0.00	380.95
D)	Cave-ins	0.00	0.03	0.00
E)	Bulkhead	4,083.28	0.00	175.92
F)	6" Trash Pump Repairs	0.00	0.00	0.00
G)	Bentonite Slurry Disposal	4,678.54	3,436.32	. 0.00
H)	Demurrage Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SUBTOTAL- 10% OVERHEAD SUBTOTAL 10% PROFIT	\$21,517.04 <u>2,151,70</u> \$23,668.74 <u>2,366,87</u> \$26,035.61	\$ 5,175.84 517.58 \$ 5,693.42 0.00 \$ 5,693.42	\$556.87 0.00 \$556.87 0.00 \$556,87
	- TOTAL		<u></u>	\$32,285.90

II. Claim Response Summary

Based upon the foregoing review and analysis, we are in a position to recommend that any and all issues relevant to Tricil's Claim for Differing Site Conditions with regard to the slurry wall be settled in the amount of \$62,759.71. The amount of \$62,759.71 can be expressed as the sum of \$30,473.81 for increased quantities of unsuitable materials and \$32,285.90 for relocation of slurry wall installation. We consider this amount to be fair and reasonable in light of all the circumstances.

If acceptable to Tricil, settlement would be effected by way of a change order.

After you have reviewed this package in its entirety, I recommend scheduling a meeting as soon as possible to clarify any outstanding issues, and to come to an agreement upon the settlement of the claim.

Thank you for your attention regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

George King, P.E.

Bureau Chief

Bureau of Construction

Attachments

c: J. Reilly, DAG

T. Allen, BC

R. Collier, BCM

D. Posey, BCM

L. Romino, Treasury

File: F



State of New Jersey

Christine Todd Whitman

Department of Environmental Protection

Commission

Lagal Decartment

4/3/96

Brett A. Hickman, Esq.
Laidlaw Environmental Services Inc.
220 Outlet Pointe Blvd
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

ADD 1 - 1995

Re:

Florence Land Recontouring Landfill Project (FLR)

1. 1 Nac. 14

Contract A49921

Pipe-drops and Special Damages

Dear Mr. Hickman:

This is in response to some recent communications between you and Dennis Reinknecht of my staff regarding the failure of the pipe-drop system at the Florence Landfill and the special damages which were assessed against Laidlaw Environmental Services. Inc. for failure to complete the Florence Landfill project on time.

With respect to the pipe-drop issue, I am informed that by telephone conversation with Mr. Reinknecht, you expressed concern that the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) might include the pipe-drop failure as an issue which must be resolved as part of any overall settlement of the Florence contract between Laidlaw and the DEP. You apparently told Mr. Reinknecht that Laidlaw hoped to settle the pipe-drop issue with Acres International Corporation, without involving the DEP. This is consistent with the position which the DEP has been taking all along. When the pipe-drops failed at Florence in the Fall, 1994, we asked Laidlaw and Acres to determine who was at fault and who would fix them. In response to our request, Laidlaw denied any responsibility for the problem and refused to help fix the pipe-drops. Acres also denied any responsibility for the failure, but, nonetheless, proceeded to fix the pipe-drops at considerable expense to the 11mm.

Since that time, Acres has apparently been trying to get Laidlaw to discuss issues of liability regarding the pipe-drops, but Laidlaw has apparently refused to meet with Acres. Subsequently, Acres hired Dr. Amold Lustiger, a expert in piping-joint problems, to perform an analysis of the pipe-drops. A site visit was made on August 24, 1995 to view the four pipe-drops which were repaired and the pipe-drop elbows which had originally been installed and which were still being stored at the site in the collection control building. In attendance were Shawn Wilson from Laidlaw, Dennis Reinknecht from the DEP, William Pomerhn from Acres, and Dr. Lustiger. Dr. Lustiger took the following elbows for analysis 1) PD1-East Bottom; 2) PD3-Bottom of slope; 3) PD3-East Top; 4) PD1-West Bottom. Dr. Lustiger provided a detailed report dated December 27, 1995 analyzing the HDPE elbows and

concluding that the HDPE elbows supplied by Laidlaw through their Manufacturer ADS were made with "substandard joints."

By letter dated January 30, 1996, Acres transmitted a copy of Dr. Lustiger's report to the DEP. Acres has subsequently argued that since the pipe-drop failure was the result of defective or deficient HPDE elbows which were supplied by Laidlaw, the DEP should find Laidlaw liable for the problem and should deduct the costs of repairing the pipe-drops from moneys the DEP still owes Laidlaw. The DEP should then use that money to recompense Acres for fixing the pipe-drops.

Enclosed please find a copy of Dr. Lustiger's report. Please forgive the delay in sending you a copy, but we thought that Acres was going to send you a copy when they sent us one. My staff has reviewed Dr. Lustiger's analysis and conclusions and, on initial review, they seem quite persuasive. I am sure that you will want to have Laidlaw review and respond to the report. At this point, Acres has seemingly established a prima facie case that the DEP should find Laidlaw liable for the pipe-drop failure and should proceed under Laidlaw's contract with the DEP to withhold sufficient funds to recompense Acres for the pipe-drop repairs. If Laidlaw does not respond to Acres' report, we may have to take action accordingly.

It has always been our hope that Laidlaw and Acres would jointly resolve this problem. I hope that you will take advantage of this opportunity to work towards that end. If you would like the DEP to facilitate any discussions between Laidlaw and Acres, please contact me.

With respect to the special damages of \$382,000 assessed by the DEP against Laidlaw, please note these additional damages constitute the actual costs incurred by the DEP as a result of Laidlaw's failure to complete the project on schedule. Laidlaw was required by contract to achieve Substantial Completion by September 27, 1993 and Final Completion by October 28, 1993. Laidlaw actually reached Substantial Completion on February 28, 1994, some 154 days late, and Final Completion on August 19, 1994, some 265 days late.

The \$382,000 in Additional Special Damages were calculated as follows:

Regular Eng	ineering Costs
November 1993	\$ 61,673.09
December 1993	S 76,716.01
January 1994	\$ 44,817.98
February 1994	\$ 65,077.69
March 1994	\$ 32,799.06
April 1994	\$ 25,865.61
May 1994	\$ 23,196,40
Subtotal	\$330,145.84

The DEP incurred \$330,145.84 in engineering costs for Acres' personnel for the seven additional months it took Laidlaw to complete the work. Please note that the DEP has not included Acres' engineering fees for June, July and August 1993 in this assessment of special damages since the DEP would have incurred three months of engineering costs in the normal course of concluding Laidlaw's construction contract. Copies of the invoices received from Acres and paid by the DEP for the months of November 1993 through May 1994 are enclosed for your review.

In addition to the engineering costs of late completion, Laidlaw is also liable for costs of Acres' personnel and DEP staff incurred as a result of Laidlaw working on Saturdays and Holidays during the course of the contract. Enclosed are Saturday and Holiday Schedule tracking sheets. Also enclosed as samples of the backup documentation we can provide for this assessment are personnel time sheets and relevant correspondence for Saturday, October 19th and Columbus Day, October 12, 1992. The total damages due to the DEP for Saturday and Holiday work is \$52,032.39.

Additional Engineering Costs \$330,145.84
Saturday and Holiday Work \$ 52,032.39
Total Additional Special Damages \$382,178.23

In addition to the pipe-drop problem and the special damages assessment, there are a number of other outstanding issues between Laidlaw and the DEP which warrant discussion. I would like to schedule a meeting at my office next month with the goal of resolving these issues. Mr. Reinknecht will contact you in the next week to schedule the meeting. In addition, if you require any additional backup documentation please call Mr. Dennis Reinknecht at 609-984-2991.

Sincerely,

George King, P.E., Chief
Bureau of Construction

c. A.Farro
B.Weitman
T.Allen
D.Faherty
File:FLRLPDI

ingtotat



INVOICE SUMMARY

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

FLORENCE LAND RECONTOURING LANDFILL PROJECT

INVOICE	TOTAL	RETAINAGE	AMOUNT PAID
November 1991	\$22,560.30	\$2,256.03	\$20,304.27
December 1991	19,435.12	1,943.51	17,491.61
January 1992	33,075.53	3,307.55	29,767.98
February 1992	27,736.95	2,773.70	24,963.25
March 1992	49,654.50	4,965.45	44,689.05
April 1992	69,096.08	6,909.61	62,186.47
May 1992	74,760.43	7,476.04	67,284.39
June 1992	83,554.03	8,355.40	75,198.63
July 1992	81,085.84	8,108.58	72,977.23
August 1992	93,355.02	9,335.50	84,019.52
September 1992	106,746.54	10,674.65	96,071.89
October 1992	115,481.68	11,548.17	103,933.51
November 1992	100,370.00	10,037.00	90,333.00
December 1992	82,397.56	8,239.76	74,157.80
January 1993	93,748.79	9,374.88	84,373.91
February 1993	74,562.11	7,456.21	67,105.90
March 1993	94,342.28	9,434.23	84,908.05
April 1993	99,193.05	9,919.31	89,273.74
May 1993	96,717.29	9,671.73	87,045.56
June 1993	78,022.50	7,802.25	70,220.25
July 1993	90,767.90	9,076.79	81,691.11
August 1993	95,085.50	9,508.55	85,576.95
September 1993	104,737.15	10,473.71	94,263.44
October 1993	86,186.88	8,618.68	77,568.20
November 1993	61,673.09	6,167.31	55,505.78
December 1993	76,716.01	7,671.60	69,044.41
January 1994	44,817.98	4,481.80	40,336.18
February 1994	65,077.69	6,507.77	58,569.92
March 1994	32,799.06	3,279.91	29,519.15
April 1994	25,865.61	2,586.56	23,279.05
May 1994	23,196.40	2,319.64	20,876.76
June 1994	21,817.94	2,181.79	19,636.15
July 1994	24,946.57	2,494.66	22,451.91
August 1994	21,378.83	2,137.88	19,240.95
September 1994	13,894.54	1,389.45	12,505.09
TOTAL:	2,284,856.75	228,485.66	2,056,371.06

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL
SUMMARY MANHOURSY
MANHOUR FEES

SEPTEMBER 1961 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 06/30/94

	MANHOUR FEES	1						i ·						. :			ı			i			jir
	MONTH NUMBE	:R>	1 1991	2	3 1992	4	5	6	7	8 1992	9	10	11	12	13	14 1992	15 1993	, 16	17	18	19	20	21
	DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL
	SUMMARY HOME OFFICE									-		•						•					
	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 161,5	202 179.5	342 388	314 387	286 369,5	294 272.5	278 201	266 272.5	274 261	266 335	266 326	274 358	266 262	266 223	278 285	286 282	276 295.5	286 284.5	188 281	158 213	142 279.5
,	CIVIL/ ARCHITECTURAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 0	34 0	34 3.5	49 0	94 1	92 3	62 3	52 0.5	42 4	32 5	22 5.5	15 24.5	32 2			0	0 26.5	27 47	37 44	47 33	17 76.5
	GEOTECHNICAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 0	26 2	82 0	240 0	172 73	153 145	120 14	104 54.5	116 44.5	80 73,5	80 106.5	116 118.5	80 90,5		96 203.5	32 274.5	28 345.5	28 228	34 133.5	34 18	8 9.5
	ELECTRICAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 0	0 3	10 0	22 0	42 3	51 2	42 4	41 0	32 2	26 22	16 30	16 4	16 0			26 30	27 29	31 20	52 19	31 12.5	2 12
	MECHANICAL/ DRAFTING	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 212.5	260 87.5	150 77	80 14	130 9.5	130 0	130 9	116 28.5	96 2.5	96 48	65 24	50 29	60 32.5		40 110	40 68	140 54	175 84.5	170 38.5	100 50.5	0 118.5
	ENVIRONMENTAL/ HYDRAULICS	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 19.5	80 69	70 95.5	120 46.5	85 100.5	70 32.5	70 104	42 67.5	42 1.5	52 66	·42 51.5	32 19.5	27 15		27 62	27 36.5	37 28.5	32 32.5	35 6.5	28 6	10 8
	SUBTOTAL HOME OFFICE	PLANNED ACTUAL	80 393.5	602 341	688 564	825 447.5	809 556,5	790 455	702 335	621 423.5	602 315.5	552 549.5	491 543.5	503 553.5	481 402	467 482.5	470 712	411 691	508 779	579 696.5	516 522.5	398 333	179 504
								-1,				-											
	FIELD SERVICES	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	680 40		945 810.5	1150 828	1250 886.5	1360 1092	1310 1154	1260 1260	1310 1548	1260 1237.5			840 687.5	1095 829	990 1000.5	840 1009.5	1040 1147.5	670 1079.5
	TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	80.0 393.5														1520.0 1471.5				1356.0 1532.0	1438.0 1480.5	849.0 1583.5

PAGE 1A OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 06/30/94

MANHOUR FEES	•			ı		٠,							L	DO +++++	**MAN HOUP	
MONTH NUMBI	ER>	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	· 30	31	32		ns	JOB	
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	1993 DEC	1994 JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	1994 JUN	JOB TO DATE	TOTAL	TO DATE \$	TOTAL \$
MMARY HOME OFFICE																
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 194.5	0 229	0 250.5	0 165.5	0 153	0 224.5	0 227.5	0 331.5	0 204	0 221.5	0 176	-,	5,228	\$109,025 \$196,665	\$109,025
CIVIL/ ARCHITECTURAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 81	0 44	0 11	0	0 1	0 4	0 6	_ 0 46	, 0 74	0 100	0 135	755 815	755	\$15,059 \$13,407	\$15,05
GEOTECHNICAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 52.5	0 71	0 120.5	0 9.5	0	0 31	0 16	, O	0 53.5	0	0 42.5	1,717 2,453	1,717	\$38,076 \$51,898	\$38,07
ELECTRICAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 14	0 39.5	0 34	0 18	0 4	0 18	0 2 9	0 43	0 12	0 2	0 5		506	\$10,952 \$10,057	\$10,95
MECHANICAL/ DRAFTING	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 169	0 152.5	0 150	0 124	0 82.5	0 59	0 103	0 75	0 48.5	0 14.5	0 44	2,093 2,237	2,093	\$36,814 \$40,469	\$36,81
ENVIRONMENTAL/ HYDRAULICS	PLANNED - ACTUAL	0 13	0 4	0 4.5	0 . 8	0 1	0	0	0 25	0	0	0	975 931	975	\$20,202 \$18,606	\$20,20
SUBTOTAL HOME OFFICE	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 524	0 540	· 0 570.5	0 325	0 241.5	0 336.5	0 381.5	0 520.5	0 392	0 338	0 402.5	11,274 15,173	11,274	\$230,128 \$331,101	\$230,128
									•	* .	, ,-			en en en en en en en en en en en en en e		
FIELD SERVICES	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 1131.5	0 1158.5	0 883	0 849.5	0 996	0 523.5	0 364	0 8	0	. O	0		19,150	\$374,300 \$398,137	\$374,300
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0.0 1655.5	0.0 1698.5	0.0 1453,5	0.0 1174.5	0.0 1237.5	0.0 860,0	0,0 745.5	0,0 528.5	0.0	0.0 338.0	0.0 402.5		30,424	\$604,428 \$729,238	\$604,42

PAGE 1B OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 08/30/94

		1		1			1			,												
MONTH NUM	BER>	1 1991	2	3 1992	4	. 5	6	7	8 1992	9	10	11	12	13	14 1992	15 1993	16	17	18	19	20	21
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	NOV		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR		JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	, ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL
PROJECT MANAGEMENT													<u>:</u>		-							
LAMB	PLANNED ACTUAL	16	. 8 10	8 11	8 7	8	8 4	8 5	8	8 13	. 8 5	11	8 9	8 10	8 5	8	8 7	8 10	. 8 . 11	8 19	8 7	8
POMERHN .	PLANNED ACTUAL	24	16 42	40 56	56 36	48 41	56 12	40 41.5	28 50	36 50	28 54	28 49.5	36 72	28 40.5	28 35	40 31.5	48 65	48 58.5	48 48.5	40 47.5	40 26.5	32 37
ZAMOJSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	10 25	58 34	74 102	90 117	90 135	90 153	90 78,5	90 130	90 143	90 167	90 159	90 159	90 131	90 99	90 135	90 140	90 152	90 138	90 126	80 97.5	72 144
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	10 83	50 58	90 160.5	20 154	0 80	0 0	0	, 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KAPALCZYNSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL		4	10 0	10 7	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 4	10 0	10 0	10 0	10	10 0	10 0	10 0	10	10 0	20 0	0	0	0
BARRETT (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL		30 0	30 0	30 0	30 0	30 0	., 30 0	30 0	30 15.5	30	30 0	30 4	30 0	30 1	30 1	30 0	20 0	20 0	0 17.5	0	0
WILLIAMS	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	30 2	, 30 0	30 0	30 0	30 0	30 0	30 0	30 1.5	30 1.5	, 30 0	30	30 0	30 0	30 7	30 3.5	20 2.5	20 0	10 0	10 0
BUCHANAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	13.5	40 31.5	60 56.5	60 66	60 104.5	60 103.5	60 76	60 85,5	60 39	60 107.5	60 105	60 114	60 80.5	60 83	60 108.5	60 63	60 70.5	60 84.5	30 71	20 82	20 92.5
MILLER (2)	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0.5	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 1	20 0	0	0	0
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 161.5	202 179.5	342 388	314 387	286 369.5	294 272.5	278 201	266 272.5	274 261	266 335	266 326	274 358	266 262	266 223	278 285	286 282	276 295.5	286 284.5	188 281	158 213	142 279.5

TOTAL COST INCLUDES ESCALATION OF 5% PER ANNUM BASED ON 1991 RATES AVERAGE HOURLY RATE IS TOTAL COST DIVIDED BY TOTAL HOURS

(1) WAS DEFAZIO; BARRETT FROM 5/92 (2) WAS HENKE; MILLER FROM 5/92

PAGE 2A OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 08/30/94

MANHOUR FEES MONTH NUMBI	ER>	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32		RS *****	**MAN HOU! JOB		1991 HOURLY RATE	AVG HOURLY RATE	LEVI
DEPARTMENT	DATE>	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	1993 DEC	1994 JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	1994 JUN	JOB TO DATE	TOTAL	TO DATE \$	TOTAL \$	\$/HR	\$/HR	
OJECT MANAGEMENT	. 1								٠.									-	
,														14,714					
LAMB	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 2	0 10	0 7	0 9	0 8	0 9	0 16	14	0 7.	6	0 2	160 277	160	\$6,634 \$10,785	\$6,634	\$38.90	\$41.46	P4
POMERHN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 30.5	0 43	0 26	0 34.5	0 42 .5	0 50	0 27	0 22		0 38	0 20	764 1,277	764	\$24,034 \$39,971	\$24,034	\$29.42	\$31.46	P
ZAMOJSKI .	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 113	0 107	0 116	0 75	0 68.5	0 91	0 91	0 57	0 56	0 19	0 4 2	1,734 3,401	1,734	\$48,848 \$96,045	\$48,848	\$26.42	\$28.17	P
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	` 0 0	0	0	0	0	0 152	0 83.5	0 130	0 98.5	170 1,008	170	\$4,233 \$25,763	\$4,233	\$24.12	\$24.90	T
KAPALCZYNSKI .	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0 4	0	0 7	0	0 23	0 21	0	0	0	170 76	170	\$1,938 \$878	\$1,938	\$10.70	\$11.40	Т
BARRETT (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 6.5		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	: O	490 49	490	\$5,276 \$391	\$5,276	\$10.18	\$10,77	T
WILLIAMS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 2.5	0 5.5		0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0 6,5	510 33	510	\$5,439 \$347	\$5,439	\$10.01	\$10.67	Т
BUCHANAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 46.5	0 49.5		0 38.5	0 21,5	0 63,5	0 49.5	0 57	0 29.5	0 21.5	0 7	1,070 2,089	1,070	\$10,957 \$21,550	\$10,957	\$9.63	\$10.24	т
MILLER (2)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 7.5	0 28	0 8.5	0 5.5	0 5	0 13	0 8.5	0 2.5	0	0	160 87	160	\$1,665 \$935	\$1,665	\$9.76	\$10.41	1
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 194.5	0 229		0 165,5	0 153	0 224.5	0 227.5	0 331,5	0 204	0 221.5	0 176	5,228 8.295	5,228	\$109,025 \$196,665	\$109,025		\$20.85	-

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

06/30/94

MANIOUNIELS		ı		. 1			1			1 -			ı			1		1.	1			1 2 3
MONTH NUME	IER>	1 1991		2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8 1992	· 9	10	11	12	13	14 1992	15 1993	16	17	18	19	20	21
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	NOV	DEC		FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL
CIVIL/ARCHITECTURAL		-																· · · · · ·			:	
MONIN (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL			4 6	4	4 0	2 0	. 2	0	2 0	2 0	2 0		2 0	0	2	0	0 3	2	2 0	2 0	2 0
SWIERSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	20		0 10			20 0	20	20 0	0	10 0	10 0		10 0	10 0	5 0	0	. O	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0
POWELL	PLANNED ACTUAL					ò	0	0		20 0	10 0	0	0	· . 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10 0	0
MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL			3.5	5 C	10	20			10 4	0 5	0 5.5			0 4.5	0 15.5	0	0 2.5	0 14	10 2	5 0	5 1.5
MRUK	PLANNED ACTUAL			0 6			10	10 0		10 0	10 0		_		10 0	0	0	0	5 0	5 0	10 0	0
ADLER	PLANNED ACTUAL			0 15	5 20	40				0	0			10 0	10 0	10 13	0	0 21	10 33	10 42	10 33	0 75
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	20		4 34 0 3.5			92	62 3		42	32 5	22 5.5				17 29.5	0 0	0 26.5	27 47	37 44	47 33	17 76.5

(1) WAS ARGAUER; MONIN FROM 2/93

PAGE 3A OF 12

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE ----> SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN 08/04/94 NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF FOR MONTH NUMBER --> **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START FOR MONTH ENDING ---> 06/30/94 FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ 1991 AVG **MANHOUR FEES** **** HOURS ***** *MAN HOUR COSTS** HOURLY HOURLY MONTH NUMBER --> 26 27 30 31 32 JOB RATE RATE LEVEL 22 23 24 1994 TO DATE TOTAL 1993 1994 JOB JUN TO DATE TOTAL \$/HR \$/HR JAN MAY DEPARTMENT DATE -> AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC FEB MAR APR CIVIL/ARCHITECTURAL O. **PLANNED** 0 0 0 \$1,290 \$1,290 \$30.49 \$32.26 MONIN (1) 0 **ACTUAL** \$136 0 0 0 0 SWIERSKI PLANNED Ö 0 0 240 240 \$5,282 \$5,282 \$20.97 \$22.01 P3 ACTUAL . 0 0 0 0 0 50 \$1,175 \$1,175 \$22.15 \$23.49 P3 **POWELL** PLANNED 0 0 0 50 **ACTUAL** 0 \$0 0 0 0 PLANNED 80 \$1,813 \$1,813 \$21.32 \$22.67 P3 MALEJS 0 0 0 80 ACTUAL 2 0 0 0 77 \$1,716 0 MRUK PLANNED 120 \$2,089 \$2,089 \$16.44 \$17.41 0 120 \$0 ACTUAL 0 0 . 0 0 **ADLER PLANNED** 225 225 \$3,411 \$3,411 \$14.31 \$15.16 0 0 Ω 0 0 0 **ACTUAL** 74 100 134 733 \$11,554 79 11 2 46 PLANNED 755 755 \$15,059 \$15,059 \$19.95 **TOTAL** 0 0 0 0 \$13,407 46 74 100 135 ACTUAL 81 44 11 815

PAGE 3B OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ----> 08/04/94
FOR MONTH NUMBER --> 32
FOR MONTH ENDING ---> 08/30/94

				ı			1		1														
MONTH NUME	DATE ->	1 1991 NOV	DEC	3 1992 JAN	4 FEB	5 MAR	6 APR		8 1992 JUNE	9 JUL	10 AUG	11 SEP	12 OCT	13 NOV	14 1992 DEC	15 1993 JAN	16 FEB	17 MAR	18 APR	19 MAY	20 JUNE	21 JUL	
GEOTECHNICAL																				******	*****	******	
BOND	PLANNED ACTUAL		10 0	· 16	24 0	24 11	32 12	24 4	16 5	32 0	16 0	16 0		16 0	16 0	16 0	4	4 9	4 8	4 2	4 0	4	
BALTZ	PLANNED ACTUAL		16 2		48 0	80 39.5		40 10	40 41.5	40 44.5	20 73.5	20 106.5		20 90.5	20 84.5	20 40.5	8 57.5	4 47	4 59.5	10 30,5	10 8	4 4.5	
ISLER	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	• о	4	. 4 0	4	4 0	· 4	0	0	0	. 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0. 0	0	0	
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL		o	12 0	40 0	20 5	8 19	8 0	0	0	0 0	0	-	0	8 0	16 2	0 38	0 20	0 24	0 59	0	0	
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL		, о	20	. 80	17.5	99.5	0	0 8	0	0	0	0	0	0 24	0 160	0 163	0 202	0 124	0 42	0 6	0 0	
AHMAD	, PLANNED ACTUAL		O	10 0	44	44	44 0	44 0	44 0	44 0	44 0	. 44	44	44	44 13	44 0	20 0	20 0	20 0	20 0	20 0	0 5	
MANNERBERG ,	PLANNED ACTUAL	*. 									; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		;					67.5	0 14.5	0	0 0	0 0	
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	26 2	82 0	240 0	172 73		120 14	104 54.5	116 44.5	80 73.5	80 106.5	116 118.5	80 90,5	88 121.5	96 203.5	32 274.5	28 345.5	28 228	34 133.5	34 18	8 9.5	

PAGE 4A OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL A SUMMARY MANHOURS/

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

06/30/94

MANHOUR FEES		٠	1							1			HOL	100 *****	L	T 000T0++	1991	AVG	
MONTH NU	MBER>	22	23	24	25	26 1993	27 1994	28	29	30	31	32 1994			JOB TO DATE	TOTAL	HOURLY RATE	HOURLY RATE	LEVE
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	TO DATE	TOTAL	\$	\$	\$/HR .	\$/HR	
GEOTECHNICAL			٠.									× ·				# ·			
BOND	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, 0 0	· · 0 0			\$10,101 \$2,076	\$10,101	\$30.49	\$32.17	P4
BALTZ	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 8.5	0 11	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			\$12,724 \$21,167	\$12,724	\$22.64	\$23.87	P3
ISLER	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 38	o 0	- 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20 43	20	\$505 \$1,140		\$24.06	\$25.26	P3
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 25	0 29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	112 221	· 112	\$2,573 \$5,164	\$2,573	\$21.72	\$22.97	P2
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 4	0 8	0	0	. O	0	0	0	0	0	0	100 858	100	\$1,896 \$16,435		\$18.06	\$18.96	P2
AHMAD	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 27	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	638 51	638	\$10,277 \$839	\$10,277	\$15.17	\$16.11	P1
MANNERBERG	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0 91.5	0 9.5	0	0 31	0 16	0	0 53.5	0	0 42.5	0 326	0	\$0 \$5,077	\$0	\$0.00	N/A	P1
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 . 52.5	0 71	0 120.5	0 9.5	0 0	0 31	0 16	0 0	0 53.5	 0 0	0 42 .5	1717 2452.5	1717	\$38,076 \$51,898			\$22.18	

PAGE 4B OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

06/30/94

	.			1			I			1			1	λ,		•			Ι .			1
MONTH NU	MBER>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 1992	9	10	11	12	13		15 1993	16	17	18	. 19	20	21
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	1991 NOV	DEC	1992 JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	1992 DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL
ELECTRICAL	'					-												, ,)				
AU	PLANNED ACTUAL		. 0	0	2	2	1 0	2 0	1 0	· 2	1 0	1	1 0	1 0	1 0	2 0	1 0	2 0	1 0	2	1	2 0
DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL		3	10 0	10 0		20 2	20 4	20 0		0 22	10 30	. 5 4	5	5 10	5 18	10 30	20 29	20 20	40 19	20 12.5	0 12
KUJAWA	PLANNED ACTUAL		. 0	0	10 0			20 0	20		15 0	, 5 0	5 0	5 0	5 0	5 0	. 5 0	5 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	0
SCHARF	PLANNED ACTUAL	•		-	0	0	10 0	0	0	0	10	0	5 0	5 0	0	0 4	10 0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	10	22 0		51 2	42	. 41 0		26 22	16 30		16 0	11 10	12 22	26 30	27 29		52 19		2 12

(1) WAS JEX; ALI FROM 2/93

PAGE 5A OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 06/30/94

	MANHOUR FEES		•	1	l +			1			1	,			DO 44444	L		1991	AVG	
	MONTH NUMBER	R>	22	23	24	25	26 1993	27 1994	28	29	. 30	31	32		HS	JOB		HOURLY RATE	HOURLY	LEVEL
***	DEPARTMENT		AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	1994 JUN	JOB TO DATE	TOTAL	TO DATE \$	TOTAL \$	\$/HR	\$/HR	
EL	ECTRICAL.								,											
	AU	PLANNED ACTUAL	.0	0	0 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	26 0	26	\$936 \$0	\$936	\$33,57	\$35.99	P4
	DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 14	0 39.5	0 34	0 18	- 0 4	· 0 18	0 29	0 43	0 12	0 2	0 5	260 439	260	\$5,868 \$9,970	\$5,868	\$21.03	\$22.57	ТЗ
	KUJAWA	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	180 0	180	\$3,312 \$0	\$3,312	\$17.31	\$18.40	P2
	SCHARF	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	. 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	40 4	40	\$835 \$87	\$835	\$19.64	\$20.88	тз
	TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 14	0 39.5	0 34	0 18	0 4	0 18	0 29	0 43	0 12	0 2	0 5	506 443	506	\$10,952 \$10,057	\$10,952	·	\$21.64	

PAGE 5B OF 12 ,

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL
SUMMARY MANHOURS
MANHOUR FEES SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ---->

06/30/94

	FOR MONTH NUMBER>	
1.	FOR MONTH ENDING>	C

MANHOUR FEES	1				. ,	*	1 .						1		:				1		. 1	
MONTH NUMBE	ER>	1 1991	2	3 1992	4	5	6	7	8 1992	9	. 10	, 11	12	13	14 1992	15 1993	16	17	18	19	20	21
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN		MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL
MECHANICAL and DRAFTING	3	÷	٠			•					•			,								
STEUERNAGEL	PLANNED ACTUAL		3	10 4.5	10 0		20 0			16 0	16 30.5	10 22	5 24	5 15	10 31	5 39	5 18.5	10 22		20 20.5	10 33	
TARBELL.	PLANNED ACTUAL	-	0	10 0	20 0	20 0			20 5		20 7.5	10 1	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	20 0	30 0		40 0	20 0	
SHORT	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	10 0	20 0	20 0	20 0	20 0		20 0	20 9		10 0	10 0	0 2	10 0	0	10 0	4	10 0	10 0	
DELL'ISOLA (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL		•		•				. '			•		. 0	0 22 .5	0 7.5	0 0.5	0 2.5	0 7.5	0 3	0 17.5	
MAZURKIEWICZ	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 2	20 7		20 7		40 0	40 2		20 2	20 1	20 1	20 4	20 5.5	10 .4	10 5	10 2	20 6	40 29	20 9	10 0	
NEWBERRY (2)	PLANNED ACTUAL		120	40	0	0	10 0	0		0	10 0		0	0	0 57	0 49.5	0 44	20 0		20 0	10 0	
BURKE	PLANNED ACTUAL	68		0		10 0		, O	_		0		0	10 0	0	0	0	20		20 0	10 0	
BYRNE/KIBLER (4)	PLANNED ACTUAL	115.5	68.5	34.5	0 7				0 12	0 0.5	0		0	0 12	10 0	0 0	0 1	20 0	20 0	20 0	10 0	
JARACZ	PLANNED ACTUAL	27	80 9	40 2	0	0	1	0	•	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LUKASIEWICZ (5)	PLANNED ACTUAL		40	20 7	10	20 0	20 0			10 0	10 0		5 0	5 - 0	5 0	5 9	5 2	10 5.5	20 3	20 2	20 0	
RICHARDSON (3)	PLANNED ACTUAL			21		0	0	0	_	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0 18	0 22	' `0 4	0	0
OGLETREE (5)	PLANNED ACTUAL												_			.5		.*				0
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	20 212.5	260 87.5		80						96 48		50 29	60 32.5	45 116.5	40 110	40 68	 - 140 54	175 84.5	170 38.5	100 50.5	

⁽¹⁾ DELL'ISOLA ADDED 12/92; FOR TARBELL (2) WAS GALA; NEWBERRY FROM 12/92

⁽³⁾ RICHARDSON ADDED 1/92 (5) OGLETREE ADDED 5/93; (4) WAS BYRNE; KIBLER FROM 12/92; LUKASIEWICZ RECLASSIFIED AS TECHNICIAN BYRNE FROM 8/93

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE ----> 08/04/94 **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START FOR MONTH NUMBER --> FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL FOR MONTH ENDING ---> 06/30/94 **SUMMARY MANHOURS/** MANHOUR FEES 1991 AVG ***** HOURS ***** **MAN HOUR COSTS** HOURLY HOURLY MONTH NUMBER ---> 26 27 29 30 31 32 JOB RATE RATE LEVEL. 1993 1994 1994 JOB TO DATE TOTAL DEPARTMENT DATE -> AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR JUN MAY TO DATE TOTAL \$/HR \$/HR **MECHANICAL and DRAFTING PLANNED** STEUERNAGEL 0 0 0 0 223 223 \$6,360 \$6,360 \$26,77 \$28.52 ACTUAL 39 38 44.5 37 31.5 24 40.5 5.5 20.5 0.5 623 \$17,947 TARBELL PLANNED \$6,524 340 340 \$6,524 \$17.88 \$19.19 P2 ACTUAL 0 \$293 SHORT PLANNED 0 230 230 \$3,564 \$3,564 \$14.60 \$15.50 P1 ACTUAL 0 0 0 0 11 \$169 DELL'ISOLA (1) PLANNED n 0 \$0 P1 \$12.82 N/A ACTUAL 129.5 101.5 84 35 30 58.5 41.5 16 749 \$10,290 MAZURKIEWICZ **PLANNED** 0 0 460 \$8,635 \$8,635 \$17.74 \$18.77 **T3** ACTUAL 0 0 18 133 \$2,493 **NEWBERRY (2) PLANNED** 0 260 \$4,764 \$4,764 \$17.60 \$18.32 **T3 ACTUAL** 0 0 0 0 152 \$1,862 BURKE PLANNED 0 100 100 \$1,859 \$1,859 \$17.11 \$18.59 ACTUAL: 0 0 0 \$1,163 BYRNE/KIBLER (4) PLANNED. 100 - 100 \$1,392 \$1,392 \$12.81 \$13.92 **ACTUAL** 2 269 \$3,459 **JARACZ** PLANNED n 120 120 \$1,302 \$1,302 \$10.67 \$10,85 .T2 **ACTUAL** - 38 \$407 LUKASIEWICZ (5) PLANNED 0 0 0 260 \$2,414 260 \$2,414 \$8.77 \$9.28 T1 ACTUAL 0 0 .0 28 10 110 \$1,291 RICHARDSON (3) **PLANNED** 0 - 0 \$0 \$14.69 N/A T1 ACTUAL 0 0 65 \$1,044 OGLETREE (5) **PLANNED** 0 0 0 0 0 \$0 \$7.85 N/A T1 **ACTUAL** 0 0 \$52

0

75

103

0

14.5

48.5

2093

2236.5

2093

\$36,814

\$40,469

\$36,814

TOTAL

PLANNED

ACTUAL

0

152.5

150

124

82.5

59

169

\$17.59

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 06/30/94

	MANHOUR FEES	1	1			1			ı			ı									1	
	MONTH NUMBER>	1 1991	2 199	3 4 2	5	6	7	8 1992	9	10	11	. 12	13	14 1992	15 1993	16	17	18	19	. 20	21	
	DEPARTMENT DATE -				MAR			JUNE		AUG	SEP		NOV	DEC	JAN		MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE.	JUL	
	ENVIRONMENTAL.							:	,								. :					
	STACHOWSKI PLANNI ACTUAI		0 26.			10 12.5	10 46	10 16	10 0	10 47.5	10 0	10 0.5	10 0.	10 2	. 10 . 31	10 1.5	10 0.5	10 0.5	10 0.5	10 0	10 0	
	TABIB PLANNI ACTUAI		0	20			40 0	20 3	20 0	20 0	20 0	10 0	10 0	10 0	10 22	10 20	10 8.5	10 15	5 0	5 6	0	
	HEMPEL PLANNI ACTUAI		20	40	0	0	0 46	15	0 0	0 16	0 12	0	0	0 5	0 4	0 5	· 0	0 4	0	0	0	1
	GAULRAPP (1) PLANNI ACTUAI				34	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	. 0	· 0	0	0.	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	+
H	HYDRAULICS				•					, ,	• •			** .		٠		٠.	•			
	COTRONEO PLANNI ACTUAI		0 10	10	10	5 0	10 0	2	2 0	2	2 0	2 0	2 0	2 0	. 0	2 0	2 0	2 0	. 5 0	8 0	0 5	i
•	WOLCOTT PLANNI ACTUA		80 20 69 59) 10 9 18.5		10 19	10 11.5	0 33.5	10 1.5	10 2.5	10 39	10 19	9.5	5 0	5 5	5 10	5 15.5	10 13	10 6	5 0	0 3	
	TOLMAN PLANN ACTUA			0	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	0	5 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	5 0	, o	0	ţ
	BENSON PLANNI ACTUAI		11))	20 0	0	0 0.5	0	0 0	10 0	0 0.5	0	0	0	0	0	10 0	0	0	0	0	٠
	TOTAL PLANN		80 70 69 95.				70 104	42 67.5	42 1.5	52 66	42 51.5	32 19.5	27 15	27 7	27 62	27 36.5	37 28.5	32 32.5	35 6.5	28 6	10 8	

(1) GAULRAPP ADDED 3/92

PAGE 7A OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94

06/30/94

MANHOUR FEES MONTH NUM	ADED .	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	. 31	32		RS *****	**MAN HOU	R COSTS**	1991 HOURLY RATE	AVG HOURLY RATE	LEVEL
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	1993 DEC		FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	1994 JUN		TOTAL	TO DATE	TOTAL \$	\$/HR	\$/HR	LEVEL
*****			*****	******	*****	*****	*****	*****		****	****	****	*******	*****	*******	******	*****		*****
ENVIRONMENTAL						•						`							
STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			. 240	\$5,643 \$4,933		\$22.07	\$23.51	1 P2
TABIB	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0 2	0	0	0		0	. 0			280	\$5,078 \$1,436	\$5,078	\$17,12	\$18,14	P2
HEMPEL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	_	60 118	60	\$918 \$1,811	\$918	\$14.57	\$15.30	P2
GAULRAPP (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0			d	\$0 \$446	\$0	\$12.82	N/A	P1
HYDRAULICS																			
COTRONEO	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 13	0	0			, 80	\$2,236 \$630	\$2,236	\$26.28	\$27.96	5 P2
WOLCOTT	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 13		0	0 5	0	0	0	0	_				250	\$5,122 \$8,784	\$5,122	\$19.73	\$20,49	P2
TOLMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	. 0	0		0	0 12	1	0			25	\$535 \$267	\$535	\$20.19	\$21,41	1 P2
BENSON	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	_	0		0		_	40 18	40	\$670 \$298	\$670	\$15,75	\$16.74	P1
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 13	0	1	0 8	0	0	0	0 25		0			975	\$20,202 \$18,606			\$20.72	

PAGE 7B OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/ MANHOUR FEES SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ---> 0
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING ---> 0

08/04/94 32 06/30/94

						_		_		_				4-						4-			
MONTH NUM	IBER>	1991	1 2 1	1992	4	5	6	7	8 1992	9	10	11	12	13	14 1992	15 1993	16	17	18	19	20	. 21	
DEPARTMENT	DATE ->	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	
FIELD SERVICES								-						•	,		· .				-		
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	-	0	0	210 0	210 96	210 203	210 192.5	210 216.5		210 167.5	210 182	210 282	210 221.5	210 174.5	210 192.5	210 182.5	210 209.5	210 156	210 190	210 216.5	210 172.5	
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	0	105 0	210 0	210 0	210 0	210 0		210 198	210 240	210 292	210 236	210 151.5	105 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	:				0	0	0	0 16	1	0	0 0	0	. O	0	. 0	105 0	210 0	0	0	0	0	
MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL					0	0	100	100 0		0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CIVIL INSP (HOLBERT) PLANNED ACTUAL				40	231	105 194.5	210 200.5	210 221.5		210 211	210 214	210 241.5	210 206	210 196.5	210 191	105 143	105 208	.210 214	210 212,5	210 233	0 215.5	
CIVIL INSP (NEWBERI	PLANNED ACTUAL						0	. 0	0	210 95	210 165.5	210 222,5	210 260	210 . 181.5	210 11.5	105 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	
GAULRAPP (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	o	105 0	210 57.5	210 209.5	210 204	210 228.5		210 221	210 215.5	210 262	210 216	210 188	210 204.5	210 190	210 206	210 231.5	210 221.5	210 257.5	0 224	
TARBELL/DELL'ISOLA	PLANNED ACTUAL		in siling the state of the stat			0	0	0	50 0	50 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	50 0	0	100 0	100 27.5	
DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL				. 4	0	o	0	0	50 0	50 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100 0	100 0	0	100 0	100 27.5	
RIDLEY* secretary	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	0	210 0	210 0	210 203.5	210 185	210 204		210 191	210 186	210 210.5	210 176.5	210 182.5	210 171.5	210 172	210 205.5	210 191	210 177	210 195	210 184.5	
STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL		0		50	0	0	0 46	50 0	0	0	. 0	50 0	0	0	0	0	50 0		0	0	50 0	
MANNERBERG (2)	PLANNED ACTUAL			-														0	0 208	0 208.5	0 245.5	0 228	
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	1	0 0	1	680 40	840 385	945 811	1150 828	1250 887	1360 1092	1310 1154	1260 1260	1310 1548	1260 1238	1260 905	1050 760	840 688	1095 829	990 1001	840 1010	1040 1148	670 1080	

PAGE 8A OF 12

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FLORENCE RECONTOURING LANDFILL SUMMARY MANHOURS/

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CREPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING --->

08/04/94 32 06/30/94

_	MANHOUR FEES				1			1			1	•		L	DO 14444	L		1991	AVG	
٠	MONTH NUMBE	R>	22	23	24	25	26 1993	27 1994	28	29	30	31	32 1994		HS	**MAN HOU JOB TO DATE	TOTAL	HOURLY RATE	HOURLY RATE	LEVEL
±1	DEPARTMENT	DATE>		SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	TO DATE	TOTAL	\$	\$	\$/HR	\$/HR	******
F	IELD SERVICES									•						:				
	TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 170	0 206	0 196.5	0 216.5	0 160.5	0 168	0 169.5	0	0	0	0		3,780	\$103,299 \$124,628	\$103,299	\$25.53	\$27.33	Т3
	PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_,	2,310	\$48,304 \$26,745		\$19.87	\$20.91	P2
	BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0 0	0	0	0	~ 315 16	315	\$7,543 \$365		\$21.72	\$23,95	P2
	MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0.	200 0	200	. \$4,477 \$0		\$21.32	\$22.39	- P3
•	CIVIL INSP (HOLBERT)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 230.5	0 232.5	0 225.5	0 229.5	0 188	0 39	0	0 0	0	0	` 0	2,835 4,749	2,835	\$53,058 \$74,600		\$17.50	\$18.72	P2
	CIVIL INSP (NEWBERRY)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 59.5	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	1,365 996	1,365	\$23,740 \$13,395		\$16.50	\$17.39	P1 ,
	GAULRAPP (1)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 235.5	0 165.5	0 245	0 204.5	0 197	0 33.5	0 0	. 0	0	0	. 0	3,465 4,654	3,465	\$54,047 \$62,827	\$54,047	\$14.59	\$15.60	P1
	TARBELL/DELL'ISOLA	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 20	0 40	0 23.5	0	0 126.5	0 118.5	0 77	0	0	0 0	0	350 433	350	\$6,806 \$5,958		\$17.88	\$19.44	P2
	DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 39	0 40	. 0	0 20	0 144.5	0 48	0 102.5	0 0	0	′ o o	0	500 422	500	\$11,482 \$9,728	\$11,482	\$21.03	\$22.96	тз
	RIDLEY* secretary	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 195	0 186	0 192,5	0 179	0 179.5	102.5	0 15	0 8	0	0	0	3,780 4,091	3,780	\$55,635 \$55,261	\$55,635	\$13.75	\$14.72	T1*
•	STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,	0	0	0	250 46	250	\$5,909 \$1,066	\$5,909	\$22.07	\$23.64	P2
	MANNERBERG (2)	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 241.5	0 229	0	0	0	0 14	0	v.	0	0	0) 0 1,375	0	\$0 \$23,565	\$0	\$15.71	N/A	P2
	TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 1132	0 1159	0 883	0 850	0 . 996	0 524	0 364	0 8	0	0	0 0	19,150 22,573	19,150 0		\$374,300		\$19.55	

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

FOR MONTH NUMBER --> 08/04/9
FOR MONTH NUMBER --> 08/30/9

						· · · · · ·					,	<u> </u>										
	MONTH	1	. 2	3 1992	`4	5	6	7	7 8	1992	10	11	12	13	- 14	15 1993	18	17	18	19	20	21 1993
DEPARTMENT	DATE	NOV	DEC	UAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	HAR.	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL
TRIPS TO SITE - OFFIC	CE STAFF											ı								•		
LAMB	PLANNED ACTUAL	. 1	0	0	0	0	0	. (0 0		0	. 0	_		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	, o
POMERHN	PLANNED ACTUAL	1	, 0	1 0	,1 ,1	1	1 0	•	' 1 1	1	1 0	. 1	1	1	1 0	. 1	1 0	1 1	1 0	1	1	1
ZAMOJSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	1	0	0 1	1	1	1 1		1 1	1 2	1	1	1	1 1	1 0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
BOND	PLANNED ACTUAL						1 0		0	1 0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
BALTZ	PLANNED ACTUAL				1	į	1	1	0	1 0	0	1	1 0	0	· 0	1.	, C O	0	0	0		
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL				. 1 0				0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	0	_	-	
STEUERNAGEL	PLANNED ACTUAL					۴.,			. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
COTRONEO	PLANNED ACTUAL							, c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0			
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 3	0	1	4 2	2 1	4		i 3	· 4	2	3 2	4 2	3	2 0	3 3	2	2 2	2 2	2	3	2 2

PAGE 9A OF 12

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING -->

08/04/94 32 08/30/94

					<u> </u>								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	MONTH	22	23	24	25	26	27 1994	28	29	30	.31	32 1994		
DEPARTMENT	DATE	AUG	SEP	, OCL	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	TO DATE	TOTAL
TRIPS TO SITE - OFF	ICE STAFF	,	ļ					;						
LAMB	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	, o	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	3 2	
POMERHN	PLANNED ACTUAL	1	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	. O	20 18	
ZAMOJSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0 1	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	18 20	1.4
BOND	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 1	Ü
BALTZ	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7 3	
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	1	
STEUERNAGEL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 0	
COTRONEO	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	1 0	
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	1 2	0	0	0	0 2	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	54 45	1.

PAGE 9B OF 12

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ---> 06, FOR MONTH NUMBER --> FOR MONTH ENDING --> 06,

32

DEPARTMENT	MONTH DATE	1 NOV	DEC	1992	4 FEB	5 MAR			JUNE	1992	10 AUG	11 SEP		13 NOV	14 DEC	15 1993 JAN	16 FEB	17 MAR	18 APR	•	20 JUNE	199 JUL
FIELD STAFF			1						· .													
A) LONG TERM STAFF																٠.						
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	1		0 1	1 2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	, 2 1	1	2	1 2	2	1 2	1	
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL					1	1 1	1	2		2 1	1	1	2 1	1	1 0	0	, O	0		0	
HEMPEL	PLANNED ACTUAL	•			1 0	1 0	1 0	2			1 0	1	2	- 1	2 0	1 0	2	1 0	2 0		2	
MANNERBERG	PLANNED ACTUAL			,												i	٠		0 1	0 1	0	
SUBTOTAL LONG TERM	PLANNED .		0		. 2	3 2	3 2			5 2	4 2	, 3 2	5 2	4 2	5 2	3	. 4	2	4 3		3 2	
) SHORT TERM STAFF																			-	٠.		
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	-		٠٠.					0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 0	. 0	0	0	
MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL							2 0	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	. O	. 0	0 0		0	
TARBELL/DELL'ISOLA	PLANNED ACTUAL								1	1 0	0	0	0	, O	. 0	0	. o	0	1	0	2	
DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL		-						0	1 0	· 1	0	0	0	, o	0	0	2 0	· 2 0	0	2	
STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	•			1 0			1	. 1	0	0	. 0	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	. 0	0	0	
NEWBERRY	PLANNED ACTUAL									1	. 1	0	0	Ö 1	0	0	: 0	0	0	. 0	0	
		-			:									-								
	•			,		· i			•			.*				•	,				• .	
														•						:	٠.	
SUBTOTAL SHORT TERM	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	. 0		1 0	0	0	2	4	2	1	0	. 1	0	0	0		5	7		. 4	,

^{1.} LONG TERM ASSIGNMENTS 1 ROUND TRIP AIRFARE (PHILADELPHIA - BUFFALO) EVERY 3RD WEEKEND - EXCURSION RATE - SATURDAY NIGHT STAY
2. SHORT TERM ASSIGNMENTS 1 ROUND TRIP AIRFARE (PHILADELPHIA - BUFFALO) EVERY WEEKEND - CHEAPEST AVAILABLE AIRFARE
PAGE 10A OF 12

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING -->

08/04/94 321 08/30/94

	MONTH	22	23	24	25	26	27 1994	28	29	30	31	32 1994	•		
DEPARTMENT	DATE	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	TO DATE	TOTAL	**
FIELD STAFF			٠.												
A) LONG TERM STAFF							•								
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 2	0 2	0	. 0	. 0	. 0				25
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		14 10		14
HEMPEL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0		24 0		24
MANNERBERG	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0			1 1	C
SUBTOTAL LONG TERM	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 2	0 2	0 2	0	0 2	0 2	0	0	0	0				63
B) SHORT TERM STAFF												•			
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	. O	0	0	0	0	0		8 1		e
MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0				4
TARBELL/DELL'ISOLA	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 2	0	0	0 3	0 2	0 1	0	· 0	0			٠.	7
DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 2	0 2	0	0 1	0 3	0 1	0 2	0	, 0 , 1	0				10
STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0				
NEWBERRY	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	. 0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	, o				c
	e e				÷						يَـــ		0		c
							-						0		C
		7	•									•	0 0		
SUBTOTAL SHORT TERM	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 3	0	0 2	0	0	.0	0	0	0 2	0				32

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ---->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING -->

32

DEPARTMENT	MONTH DATE	1 NOV	DEC	3 1992 JAN	4 FEB	5 MAR	APR	7 MAY	8 JUNE	1982 JUL	10 AUG	11 SEP	ОСТ	13 NOV	14 DEC	15 1983 JAN	16 FEB	17 MAR	18 APR	MAY	JUNE	1993 JUL
MOTEL NIGHTS - OFFIC			1						*****	******	****	*****	*******	*****	*****	*****	*****	******	******	*****	**************************************	*******
LAMB	PLANNED	1	0	0	, o	0	o	. 0		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	: 0	0	0		
POMERHN .	PLANNED ACTUAL	1	0	0	1 0	0	-	0	0	0	, O	0	1 1	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0 0
ZAMOJSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	1		0 2	0	1 3	3	. 0	1 0	0	0.	1 0	0	0	0	0	0 2	1 0	. 0	0		0 0
BOND	PLANNED ACTUAL				. 0	. 0	1 0	0		1 0	0 0.	. 0	1 0	, 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
BALTZ	PLANNED ACTUAL	••	O		1		1 0	0		1 0	0	. 0 . 1	1 0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-	
BAKER/PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL		0		3	. 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O O	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	. 0	_	-
STEUERNAGEL	PLANNED ACTUAL					0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
COTRONEO	PLANNED ACTUAL				•	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 3	0	0	5 0	1	3	0	1	. 2	0	1 2	3 2	0 2	1	0 2	· 0	1 4	0	1	1	0

PAGE 11A OF 12

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START

ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE --->
FOR MONTH NUMBER -->
FOR MONTH ENDING -->

08/04/94 32 08/30/94

DEPARTMENT	MONTH DATE	22 AUG	23 SEP [']	24 OCT	25 NOV	28 DEC	27 1994 JAN	28 FEB	29 MAR	30 APR	31 MAY	32 1994 JUNE	TO DATE	TOTAL	
MOTEL NIGHTS - OFF		*****	******	*****		*****	*****	******		*****	*****	*****	****	*****	***
LAMB	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1		0
POMERHN	PLANNED ACTUAL	1 0	0 1	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0.	0	0	5 13		. 5
ZAMOJSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	. 0	. 0	0 1	. 0	0 1	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	5 17	•	5
BOND	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	, 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	3 0		3
BALTZ	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	5 1		5
BAKER/PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 10		3
STEUERNAGEL	PLANNED ACTUAL	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	0	0	0		0
COTRONEO	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0		0
TOTAL	PLANNED ACTUAL	1 0	O 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	21 42		21

PAGE 11B OF 12

SEPTEMBER 1991 PLAN WITH NOVEMBER 1991 START ACRES INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

REPORT DATE ----> 08/04/94
FOR MONTH NUMBER --> 32
FOR MONTH ENDING --> 06/30/94

	MONTH	1	2	3 1992	4	5	6	7	7 8	1992	. 10	11	12	13	14	15 1993	16	17	18	19	20	21 1993
DEPARTMENT	DATE	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE		AUG	SEP		NOV		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	
FIELD STAFF			,	٠.	:							*									. · ·	
A) APARTMENT - MONT	HS .				•													47.				
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL		0	0	0.5 0	1 0.5	1	•	i 1	;		! 1 ! 1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1		1 1		1 1
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL		•	0	o	1 0	1		1 1	. 1	,	1	1	1	1 0.5	0.5 0	. 0	. 0		0 0		
GAULRAPP	PLANNED ACTUAL			0	0	1 0.5	1			1	. •	l 1 ļ 1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1 1		1 1	•	1 0
MANNERBERG	PLANNED ACTUAL								:		٠			•				•				0 0
SUBTOTAL APTMNT-MONTHS	PLANNED ACTUAL		0		0.5 0	3	3		3 3	3		3 3			3 2.5		2 2			2 2		2 1 3 3
B) MOTEL - NIGHTS	·				!					;					-							
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	2	o	0 2	10 1	0) (0		0	0		0 0		0 , 0
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL		. 0		10	. 0	з		0 0			3 (- 1		0		0	0		-		0 0
HEMPEL	PLANNED ACTUAL		0		10) 1		1 -		2 : 0			: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0	0		0 0		0 0
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL			٠) !	0 0	1 7) (0		0	8		B 0		0 0
MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL					0	.		B · 8) (0 0				0	-		•		0 0
TARBELL/DELL'ISOLA	PLANNED ACTUAL)	0 0				0 0				0	0		4 C		8 8 0 2
DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL				•				, c	1							0			B		8 8 0 2
STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL		0		4				5 0	(4				0	4		D 0		0 4
NEWBERRY*	PLANNED ACTUAL				-					10) 2		28							0 0		0 0
SUBTOTAL MOTEL - NIGHTS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 2			34	. 0			B 16				0 4							B (6 26 0 4

PAGE 12A OF 12

	MONTH	22		24	25	26	27 1994	28	29	30	. 31	32 1994	1	ļ
DEPARTMENT	DATE	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	TO DATE	TOTAL
TELD STAFF												*****	******	*******
V) APARTMENT - MON	THS													
TILGHMAN	PLANNÉD ACTUAL	0	0 1	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17.5 22.5	17
PERKINS .	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.5 5.5	10
GAULRAPP '	PLANNED ACTUAL	0		. O	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	16 21.5	
MANNERBERG	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 1	. O 1	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0 7	
SUBTOTAL APTMNT-MONTHS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 3	0 3	0	0 2	0 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	44 56.5	
MOTEL - NIGHTS												,	50.5	
TILGHMAN	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 12	0	0	0	0	18 17	in and in The Application
PERKINS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	10	
HEMPEL	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	10	
BAKER	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	2
MALEJS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	. 0	0	16	. 1
TARBELL/DELL'ISOLA	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 4	0	0	0	0	28 27	. 2
DOYLE	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 2	0 2	0	0	0	0 2	0 5	0	- 0 3	0	0	40 25	4
STACHOWSKI	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2
NEWBERRY*	PLANNED ACTUAL	0	0 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, 1
SUBTOTAL MOTEL - NIGHTS	PLANNED ACTUAL	0 .	0	0	O 1.	0	0	0	0	0:	0		166	16